

Proposed Plan Variation 1

Summary of Submissions



Submissions ordered by Submitter

Publicly Notified 3 October 2014

1. INTRODUCTION

This document, Summary of Submissions, summarises the decisions requested or inferred for each submission received on Proposed Plan Variation 1. Where no decision has been specifically requested, Council Officers have where possible, inferred the decision requested from the text of the submission.

Proposed Plan Variation 1 was publically notified on 15 August 2014 with the period for submissions closing on 15 September 2014.

A total of 27 submissions were received in relation to Proposed Plan Variation 1 and this report provides a summary of those submissions in accordance with Clause 7 of the First Schedule of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA).

Copies of full submissions can be inspected at the following locations during opening hours:

- Horowhenua District Council – 126 Oxford Street, Levin
- Te Takere – 10 Bath Street, Levin
- Shannon Service Centre – Located in the Shannon Library, Plimmer Terrace, Shannon
- Foxton Library - 5 Clyde Street, Foxton

These documents can also be viewed and downloaded from the Council website www.horowhenua.govt.nz/variations.

2. FURTHER SUBMISSIONS

Further submissions must be in accordance with Clause 8 of the First Schedule of the Resource Management Act and may only support or oppose those submissions already made. In supporting or opposing a submission, a Further Submission may provide reasons for supporting or opposing, however only issues that are related to those that have already been identified in a submission may be raised. The following persons may make a further submission in support of, or in opposition to any of the submissions already received:

- Any person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest; and
- Any person that has an interest in the plan greater than the interest that the general public has.

Any Further Submission should be made on Form 6 of the Resource Management (Forms, Fees, Procedures) Regs 2003 or closely follow this format. Failure to include all necessary information or complete the form correctly may prevent the Further Submission from being able to be considered. Form 6 Further Submission forms can be obtained from the Council Service Centres and Public libraries listed earlier or downloaded from the Council website www.horowhenua.govt.nz/variations.

Council is adhering to the prescribed statutory timeframe set out in the Resource Management Act of 10 working days for the lodgement of further submissions.

Further Submissions can be made in writing and will need to be received by the Horowhenua District Council before **5.00pm** on **17 October 2014**.

Further Submissions can be:

Delivered to: Horowhenua District Council,
126 Oxford Street, Levin

Posted to: Shaping Horowhenua,
Horowhenua District Council,
Private Bag 4002,
Levin 5540

Faxed to: (06) 366 0983

Emailed to: districtplan@horowhenua.govt.nz

Any person making a Further Submission on Proposed Plan Variation 1 is required by law to provide a copy of their further submission to the person who made the original submission to which the Further Submission relates within five (5) working days of serving the Further Submission to the Horowhenua District Council.

Section 4 of this report provides the address for service for each person or organisation that has made a submission on Proposed Plan Variation 1.

3. PROCESS FROM HERE

The current process of public notification and calling for submissions and further submissions is part of the statutory consultation process required to be undertaken for any Proposed Plan Variation.

Once the Further Submission period has closed (17 October 2014), a Planning Report identifying and summarising all submissions will be produced. This Planning Report will provide an impartial assessment of the merits of the Submissions, including whether the issues are valid

under the relevant legislation. The Planning Report may also contain any recommended amendment to the Proposed Plan Variation 1 to address matters raised by submitters.

Before a formal Council hearing is held, a pre-hearing meeting may be held where Council Officer's consider that such a meeting would help clarify, mediate or facilitate a resolution on any matters raised in the submissions.

The Planning Report will be circulated to all submitters and further submitters in advance of the formal Council hearing. At least 10 working days notice will be given of the hearing date. Anyone can attend the Council hearing, however only those submitters who have indicated that they wish to be heard will have the opportunity to speak about the matters raised in their submission at the hearing, or they can nominate a representative or consultant to speak on their behalf.

The Hearings Panel will consider all relevant matters before making a recommendation to Council for a decision.

All submitters will receive notice of the decision on Proposed Plan Variation 1 and the reasons for why the decision was made. The Council will also publicly notify the decision.

Any submitter who is not satisfied with the decision can lodge an appeal with the Environment Court.

4. SUBMITTERS

The following table provides the names and addresses for service of all those who made a submission in relation to Proposed Plan Variation 1. The purpose of this table is to enable any person who makes a Further Submission on Proposed Plan Variation 1 to meet the requirements of the law and send a copy of their Further Submission to the person who made the original submission that they have made a Further Submission on. This needs to be done within five (5) working days of submitting their Further Submission to the Horowhenua District Council. Please note several submitters have made submissions in relation to more than one of the Proposed Plan Variations currently notified. A submitter index which organises submitters alphabetically has also been provided at the end of this document to help readers navigate the document.

Submission Number	Submitter	Address for Service	Wish to be Heard
101	Transpower New Zealand Ltd	Attn: Mike Hurley PO Box 1021 Wellington 6140	Yes
102	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Attn: Rhea Dasent PO Box 715 Wellington 6140	Not specified
103	Glenn and Rebecca Kaukau	Suite 6 De Verre on Tennyson 23 Tennyson Street Te Aro Wellington 6011	Not specified

Submission Number	Submitter	Address for Service	Wish to be Heard
104	Malcolm McEwen and Sandra Tustin	298 Dowse Drive Maungaraki Lower Hutt 5010	No
105	New Zealand Defence Force	c/- Tonkin & Taylor Ltd PO Box 2083 Wellington 6140	Yes
106	Mark and Hayley Gilberd	10 Duncan Street Waikawa Beach Manakau 5573	No
107	Alliance Group Limited	c/- Mitchell Partnerships PO Box 489 Dunedin 9054	Yes
108	House Movers Section of New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association (Inc).	c/- Stuart Ryan PO Box 1296 Shortland Street Auckland 1140	Yes
109	Warwick Meyer	44 Western Rise RD 20 Levin 5570	Yes
110	The NZ Transport Agency	Shaun Harvey, Planning Advisor NZ Transport Agency PO Box 1947 Palmerston North 4440	Yes
111	Lesley Anne and Richard Walker	RD 31 Manakau Levin 5573	No

Submission Number	Submitter	Address for Service	Wish to be Heard
112	Todd Isaacs	82 Pohutukawa Drive RD 1 Levin 5571	Yes
113	Cameron Walker	183 Buckley Road Melrose Wellington 6023	No
114	Graham Henry	PO Box 512 Levin 5540	No
115	Barry Aylward	124 Hokio Road Levin 5510	Yes
116	Jane Andersen	477A Ohiro Road Brooklyn Wellington 6021	No
117	Kiwi Rail	Level 1, Wellington Railway Station Bunny Street PO Box 593 Wellington 6140	Yes
118	Brian and Ann Thomas	156 Gladstone Road RD 1 Levin 5571	Yes
119	David Pearson	9 Pukematawai Lane RD 1 Levin 5571	Yes
120	Francee Thompson	26 Kenwyn Terrace Newtown Wellington 6021	No

Submission Number	Submitter	Address for Service	Wish to be Heard
121	Shane and Tania Jack	92 & 94 Pohutukawa Drive RD 1 Levin 5571	Yes
122	Gail Woodhouse	437 Tararua Road RD 1 Levin 5571	Yes
123	Jane Evans	102 Gladstone Road RD1 Levin 5572	Yes
124	Truebridge Associates Limited	522 Queen Street Levin 5510	Yes
125	Horticulture New Zealand	PO Box 10 232 Wellington 6143	Not Specified
126	Prouse Family Trust	c/- Emma Prouse 1024 Queen Street East Levin 5510	Yes
127	Stephen Prouse and Karen Prouse	1024 Queen Street East Levin 5510	Yes

5. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

The Council Planning Officers are able to provide additional information on making a Further Submission or the proposed plan variation process. Additional information including the Proposed Plan Variation documents, are available from the Council website www.horowhenua.govt.nz/variations.

6. SUMMARY OF DECISIONS REQUESTED

Each decision requested as set out in this summary endeavours to identify the individual outcomes sought in the submission. This is to enable people to quickly establish whether a submission might be of interest to them. It is not a substitute for inspecting the submission itself where the matter may be of interest. Please note the table contains a summary of the submissions. The onus is on the reader to check the full submission for the exact wording used and relief requested by the submitter.

If, after inspecting the summary of decisions requested there are areas of interest, it is recommended that the full copies of the individual submissions are inspected.

Each submission has a unique number, the first three numbers identify who the submission was made by (e.g. 101 = Submitter 1). Each submission point is identified by two numbers which appear after the decimal place.

Submission 101.07

101 Is the submitter/submission number

.07 is the submission point number

Any Further Submission made must specify the number of the original submission that the Further Submission relates to. The unique submission number is also used to cross reference the address for service for all of the submitters contained in the following table. Note that in some submissions the submission point numbers are not consecutive. This only occurs in a few submissions and is due to amendments made to the submission summary table when it was being audited.

The submissions below have been organised and presented in numerical order.

Where it has been specified or is clear that the submission is either in 'support' or 'opposition', to Proposed Plan Variation 1 this has been included in the table below. The term 'In-Part' has generally been used for those submissions that are supporting or opposing part of the Plan Variation or a Plan Variation provision while seeking amendments. It has also been used for those submissions that might be neutral on the Variation or a particular matter.

Where specific wording changes have been requested to Proposed Plan Variation 1 by submitters these have been shown in Summary Table in the following ways:

Underlined text = New text to be included in the Plan Variation

~~Strikethrough text~~ = Text in the Plan Variation to be deleted.

SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS: PROPOSED PLAN VARIATION 1

Sub. No	Submitter Name	Amendment # and Provision	Support/ In-Part/ Oppose	Summary of Submission	Decision Requested
101.00	Transpower New Zealand Ltd	Section 32 Report	In-Part	Submitter supports consistency around provisions across the plan but seeks amendments to better manage the potential for activities to have adverse effects on the National Grid. Notes the National Policy Statement on Electricity Transmission (NPSET) is directly relevant and must be given effect to by PPV1, but this is not acknowledged in the Section 32 report.	Give full effect to the NPSET in the Greenbelt Residential Zone provisions.
101.01	Transpower New Zealand Ltd	Amendment 1 – Rule 18.1(j)(i) Permitted Activities	Support	Submitter supports the provisions for the construction, operation and maintenance of network utilities, specifically, any new infrastructure.	Retain Rule 18.1(j)(i) as notified.
101.02	Transpower New Zealand Ltd	Amendment 1 – Rule 18.1(l) Permitted Activities	Support	Submitter supports provision of maintenance or minor upgrading of existing network utilities, the installation of underground network utilities and new above ground lines (including support poles) within the Flood Hazard Overlay Areas.	Retain Rule 18.1(l)(iii), 18.1(l)(iv) and 18.1(l)(v) and the first associated bulleted note as notified.
101.03	Transpower New Zealand Ltd	Amendment 1 – Rule 18.1(s) Permitted Activities	In-Part	Submitter supports in part the current wording and structure of the rule but seeks to amend it to also include specific reference to Rule 18.6.32(b) regarding earthworks around a National Grid transmission line.	Amend Rule 18.1(s) as follows: (s) Earthworks <u>Notes: Also refers to –</u> (i) Refer to Rule 18.4(j)(v) Earthworks within the heritage setting of a Group 1 or 2 building or structure; (ii) Rule 18.4(k)(ii) Earthworks within a site that is listed in Schedule 2 – Historic

Sub. No	Submitter Name	Amendment # and Provision	Support/ In-Part/Oppose	Summary of Submission	Decision Requested
					(iii) <u>Heritage; and Rule 18.6.32(b) a – c Earthworks around a National Grid transmission line.</u>
101.04	Transpower New Zealand Ltd	Amendment 1 – Rule 18.1 Permitted Activities	Support	Submitter supports reference to the NESETA regulating the existing National Grid transmission lines (as opposed to the District Plan).	Retain reference to NESETA at the end of Rule 18.1.
101.05	Transpower New Zealand Ltd	Amendment 1 – Rule 18.4(a) Discretionary Activities	Support	Submitter supports the provision of the default to discretionary activity status.	Retain Rule 18.4(a) as notified.
101.06	Transpower New Zealand Ltd	Amendment 1 – Rule 18.3(h)	In-Part	Submitter supports the need to manage subdivision around the National Grid infrastructure but seeks to amend Rule 18.3(h) to respond to NPSET policies 10 and 11. The amendment sought is to require identification of a building area on a scheme plan of subdivision which is suitably separated from the National Grid transmission lines. This reflects both the Submitter’s refined approach to corridor management and the likelihood of higher demand for smaller allotments in the Greenbelt Residential Zone.	Amend Rule 18.3(h) as follows: (h) Any subdivision within 32m <u>16m</u> of the centreline of a National Grid transmission line where <u>all relevant allotments (excludes reserves) created within 16m of the National Grid transmission line shall identify a building area on a scheme plan for a dwelling or principal building which is located further than:</u> (a) <u>12m from any National Grid support structure foundation;</u> <u>and</u> (b) <u>12m from the centreline of any National Grid line where one or both ends of the span is on a pi pole; or</u>

Sub. No	Submitter Name	Amendment # and Provision	Support/ In-Part/Oppose	Summary of Submission	Decision Requested
					<u>(c) 10m from the centreline of any National Grid line where both ends of the span is on a single pole.</u>
101.07	Transpower New Zealand Ltd	Amendment 1 – Rule 18.5 Non Complying Activities	In-Part	Submitter notes that Non-Complying activity status is appropriate where any subdivision is unable to identify a building area within a proposed allotment and seeks to include an additional rule to that effect in 18.5 Non Complying Activities.	Include an additional rule in 18.5 Non Complying Activities as follows: (aa) <u>Any subdivision within 16m of the centreline of a National Grid transmission line that is not a restricted discretionary activity under Rule 18.3(h).</u>
101.08	Transpower New Zealand Ltd	Amendment 1 – Rule 18.5(a) Non Complying Activities	Support	Submitter supports any activity within the National Grid Corridor that does not comply with the permitted activity conditions in Rule 18.6.32 being a non-complying activity in the Greenbelt Residential zone.	Retain existing Rule 18.5(a).
101.09	Transpower New Zealand Ltd	Amendment 1 – Rule 18.8.11 Matters for Discretion and Conditions for Restricted Discretionary Activities	In-Part	Submitter seeks to amend heading of Rule 18.8.11 Subdivision within 32 metres of the Centre Line of High Voltage Transmission Lines	Amend Heading of Rule 18.8.11 as follows: 18.8.11 Subdivision within 32 <u>16m</u> metres of the Centre Line of High Voltage Transmission Lines
101.10	Transpower New Zealand Ltd	Amendment 1 – Rule 18.8.11(a) Matters for Discretion and Conditions for Restricted Discretionary	In-Part	Submitter seeks to expand Rule 18.8.11(a) to fully clarify and manage issues of adverse effects to line operation and maintenance due to subdivision occurring adjacent to the National Grid infrastructure.	Retain Rule 18.8.11(a)(i). Include the following matters of discretion under Rule 18.8.11(a): <u>(ii) Impacts on the operation, maintenance, upgrade and development of the National Grid;</u>

Sub. No	Submitter Name	Amendment # and Provision	Support/ In-Part/Oppose	Summary of Submission	Decision Requested
		Activities			<p>(iii) <u>Technical advice provided by Transpower;</u></p> <p>(iv) <u>The ability of the applicant to provide a complying building platform; and</u></p> <p>(v) <u>The nature and location of any vegetation to be planted in the vicinity of the National Grid lines.</u></p>
101.11	Transpower New Zealand Ltd	Amendment 1 – Rule 18.8.11(b) Matters for Discretion and Conditions for Restricted Discretionary Activities	In-Part	Submitter seeks to amend the notification requirement to confirm that it is a potentially affected party where any subdivision is proposed adjacent to the National Grid infrastructure.	Amend Rule 18.8.11(b) as follows: Non-Notification In respect of 18.3(h), for the purposes of notification / non-notification, Transpower New Zealand Limited may <u>shall</u> be identified as a potentially affected party.
101.12	Transpower New Zealand Ltd	Amendment 1 – Rule 18.6.32(b) Earthworks	In-Part	Submitter seeks to amend Rule 18.6.32(b) in its entirety to give effect to Policy 10 of the NPSET and to ensure its ability to operate and maintain existing transmission infrastructure is not compromised by earthworks undertaken by other parties.	<p>Delete Rule 18.6.32(b) and include the following:</p> <p><u>(b) Earthworks</u> <u>Earthworks within the National Grid Corridor, subject to compliance with the following standards:</u></p> <p>(i) <u>Be no deeper than 300m within 12 of any National Grid support structure foundations (except the vertical holes not exceeding 500mm in diameter beyond 1.5m from the outer edge of pole support structure or stay wire are exempt);</u></p> <p>(ii) <u>Shall not compromise the</u></p>

Sub. No	Submitter Name	Amendment # and Provision	Support/ In-Part/Oppose	Summary of Submission	Decision Requested
					<p><u>stability of a National Grid support structure; and</u></p> <p>(iii) <u>Shall not result in a reduction in the ground to corridor clearance distances below what is required by Table 4 of NZECP34.</u></p> <p><u>Provided that the follow are exempt from point (i) above:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Earthworks for a Network Utility within a transport corridor, as part of a transmission activity or for electricity infrastructure; or</u> • <u>Earthworks undertaken as part of agricultural or domestic cultivation; or</u> • <u>Earthworks undertaken for repairing, sealing or re-sealing of a road, footpath, driveway or farm track.</u>
101.13	Transpower New Zealand Ltd	Amendment 1 – Rule 18.3 Restricted Discretionary Activities	In-Part	Submitter seeks to amend Rule 18.3 to include a new activity rule to cover earthworks that do not comply with proposed Rule 18.6.32(b)(i).	<p>Include new Rule 18.3(k) as follows:</p> <p>(k) <u>Any earthworks not permitted by Rule 18.6.32(b)(i). (refer submission number 101.12 above)</u></p> <p><u>Matters of Discretion</u></p> <p>(a) <u>Impacts on the operation, maintenance, upgrade and development of the National Grid.</u></p> <p>(b) <u>Compliance with NZECP34:2001.</u></p> <p>(c) <u>Technical advice provided by</u></p>

Sub. No	Submitter Name	Amendment # and Provision	Support/ In-Part/Oppose	Summary of Submission	Decision Requested
					<p><u>Transpower.</u></p> <p>(d) <u>The risk to the structural integrity of the National Grid.</u></p> <p>(e) <u>Any impact on the ability of the National Grid owner (Transpower) to access the National Grid.</u></p> <p>(f) <u>The risk of electrical hazards affecting public or individual safety, and the risk of property damage.</u></p>
101.14	Transpower New Zealand Ltd	Amendment 13 – Earthworks Definition	Support	Submitter supports retention of the definition of Earthworks as it reflects the intent of a recently issued Consent Order from the Environment Court.	Retain the definition of Earthworks as notified.
101.15	Transpower New Zealand	General – Gladstone Greenbelt Structure Plan: Technical Report	In-Part	Submitter is generally supportive of the Gladstone Greenbelt Structure Plan, but notes that the Plan refers only to restriction of ‘new buildings’ and considers that structures and earthworks should also be recognised.	Amend Section 4.4 – Gladstone Greenbelt Structure Plan: Technical Report as follows: The Proposed National Policy Statement on Electricity Transmission includes corridor protection requirements, which restrict new buildings and structures and the <u>undertaking of earthworks</u> near <u>National Grid</u> transmission lines.
101.16	Transpower New Zealand	General – Gladstone Greenbelt Structure Plan: Technical Report	In-Part	Submitter supports the need to ensure that activities or development do not compromise the integrity of the National Grid but notes that it does not wish to see unnecessary constraints imposed and could support a reduction in the transmission line ‘no build’	Amend the Opportunities and Constraints Map in the Gladstone Greenbelt Structure Plan: Technical Report as follows: <u>National Grid</u> Transmission Lines 32m <u>10 – 12 metres</u> no build.

Sub. No	Submitter Name	Amendment # and Provision	Support/ In-Part/Oppose	Summary of Submission	Decision Requested
				area identified on the Opportunities and Constraints Map.	
101.17	Transpower New Zealand	Amendment 14 – Gladstone Green Structure Plan: Schedule 8 – Structure Plan	In-Part	Submitter seeks recognition and annotation of the National Grid on the stand-alone Structure Plan map, similar to that relating to the future Transport corridor.	Amend the stand-alone Structure Plan Map to recognise and annotate the National Grid.
101.18	Transpower New Zealand	Consequential Changes	In-Part	Submitter seeks that any consequential amendments that arise from the amendments are made.	Amend as necessary.
102.00	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Amendment 2 – Rule 19.1(x) and (v) Rural Zone Permitted Activities	Support	Submitter supports permitted status of residential activities and accessory buildings in the Rural Zone, and considers the shift from the rule itself to the conditions for permitted activities will be consistent with the rest of the Plan.	Retain Amendment 2 – Rule 19.1(x) and (v).
102.01	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Amendment 3 – Rule 19.6.1 and Rule 19.6.2 Rural Zone – Conditions for Permitted Activities	Support	Submitter supports retention of the graduated approach to the number of houses compared to the size of a property, and considers the shift from the rule itself to the conditions for permitted activities will be consistent with the rest of the Plan.	Retain Amendment 3 – Rule 19.6.1 and Rule 19.6.2.
102.02	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Amendment 5 – Rule 19.4.8(iv) Rural Zone - Discretionary Activity: Flood Hazard Overlay Area	In-Part	Submitter is unclear whether activities involving the storage or disposal of hazardous substances in Flood Hazard Overlay Areas remain unregulated or are intended to be regulated and to comply with the permitted quantities listed in Table 23-2: Quantity Limits for Hazardous Substances, and seeks to amend Rule 19.4.8(iv) to clarify that hazardous substances listed as exemptions at	Amend Rule 19.4.8(iv) as follows: (iv) Any activity involving storage or disposal of hazardous substances <u>(including those activities permitted by Rule 23.2.1) but excluding those hazardous substances, facilities and activities listed in Section 23.1.1.</u>

Sub. No	Submitter Name	Amendment # and Provision	Support/ In-Part/Oppose	Summary of Submission	Decision Requested
				the beginning of Chapter 23 remain unregulated.	
102.03	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Amendment 6 – Rule 19.6.16 Note: Rural Zone – Permitted Activity Condition	Support	Submitter supports proposed wording of the note associated with Rule 19.6.16 as it provides greater clarity that the rule does not apply in particular situations.	Retain Amendment 6 – Rule 19.6.16.
102.04	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Amendment 7 – Rule 19.7.2 Note: Rural Zone – Matters of Control and Conditions for Controlled Activities: Subdivision Conditions applying to all Rural Landscape Domains	Support	Submitter supports the note associated with Rule 19.7.2 as it considers that provisions in the District Plan should align with provisions in the Horizons One Plan.	Retain Amendment 7 – Rule 19.7.2 Note: Rural Zone.
102.05	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Amendment 11 - Network Utilities Definition: Section 26 - General Provisions: Definitions	Support	Submitter supports the proposed amendments to the definition of Network Utilities as it provides greater clarity than the original definition.	Retain Amendment 11 – Network Utilities Definition: Section 26.
102.06	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Amendment 13 – Earthworks Definition: Section 26	Support	Submitter supports the proposed amendment to the earthworks definition as it clarifies that where activities outlined in (d) occur in the National Grid Corridor they are subject to Rule 18.6.32(b) in the Greenbelt Residential Zone and Rule 19.6.14(b) in the Rural Zone.	Retain Amendment 13 – Earthworks Definition: Section 26.
103.00	Glenn and Rebecca	Inferred –	Oppose	Submitter opposes the location of public	Inferred – Delete Gladstone Green

Sub. No	Submitter Name	Amendment # and Provision	Support/ In-Part/Oppose	Summary of Submission	Decision Requested
	Kaukau	Amendment 14 - Gladstone Green Structure Plan: Schedule 8 – Structure Plan		cycle/access way as it will invade personal privacy and security, crosses a part of their property that is neither up for sale or subject to a Council easement and may result in trees and livestock being disturbed or interfered with.	Structure Plan from PPV1.
104.00	Malcolm McEwen and Sandra Tustin	Amendment 18 – Planning Map 12 – Seabury Avenue/ Dawick Street, Foxton Beach	Oppose	Submitter opposes the rezoning of Seabury Avenue/Dawick Street, Foxton Beach as it will impact on the open space and quiet nature of the area. Submitter expressed concern that if a commercial centre was built on this land it would have a detrimental effect on the use and enjoyment of their property.	Retain the current Open Space zoning.
105.00	New Zealand Defence Force	Amendment 1 - Rule 18.6.30 and Rule 18.7.7	Support	Submitter supports the provisions in PPV1 relating to temporary military training activities as they align with those recently incorporated into the Proposed District Plan for other zones, were developed by experts and considered and accepted by the hearings panel and provide an important means of achieving national consistency.	Retain the provisions relating to temporary training activities.
106.00	Mark and Hayley Gilbert	Amendment 23 – Planning Maps – Planning Map 36: Waikawa Beach: Rezone area from Open Space to Rural	Support	Submitter supports the proposed rezoning of Pt Lot 1 DP 7432 and Lots 1 and 2 DP 8850 at Waikawa Beach to rural, and trusts the landowners to make good decisions in regard to the use and future development of the land if rezoned rural.	Retain proposed zoning change to Rural for Pt Lot 1 DP 7432 and Lots 1 and 2 DP 8850, Waikawa Beach.
107.00	Alliance Group Limited	Amendment 20 – Planning Map 26: Hamaria Road,	Oppose	Submitter opposes the proposed rezoning of Lots 3 and 4 DP58667, Hamaria Road, Levin and seeks the industrial zoning of this land to	Retain the current Industrial zoning of Lots 3 and 4 DP58667, Hamaria Road, Levin.

Sub. No	Submitter Name	Amendment # and Provision	Support/ In-Part/Oppose	Summary of Submission	Decision Requested
		Levin – Rezone area from Industrial to Rural		be retained as the rezoning would be incongruous with the nature of the existing environment and result in significant adverse reverse sensitivity effects on its plant and operations, particularly in relation to noise.	
108.00	House Movers Section of New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association (Inc).	Inferred - Amendment 1 – Chapter 18: Greenbelt Residential Zone provisions regulating the removal, re-siting and relocation of buildings including, but not limited to, Rule 18.2(e), Rule 18.7.4 and any associated definitions.	Oppose	<p>Submitter opposes the proposed treatment of removal, re-siting, and relocation of buildings in their entirety, and considers that the proposed variation does not meet the aims of the RMA, with particular reference to Sections 5, 32, 75 and 76, and Part 2 of the RMA generally.</p> <p>Submitter also considers the proposed controls on removal, re-siting and relocation of buildings are disproportionate to those applying to new dwellings and buildings, that application of any performance bond or restrictive covenant is unnecessary, that any potential adverse effects of relocation on amenity values would be remedied after an initial establishment period and that no recognition has been made of the transaction costs of not exempting building relocation and removal from any requirement to obtain neighbour approvals.</p> <p>Submitter seeks a range of amendments to give effect to their submission and to appropriately recognise the positive effects of removal, re-siting and relocation of dwellings</p>	<p>Amend the objectives, policies, rules, methods and reasons in PPV1 to reflect the reasons for this submission.</p> <p>Delete all provisions (including objectives, policies, rules, assessment criteria and other methods and reasons) on removal, re-siting and relocation of buildings.</p> <p>Amend the objectives, policies, rules and assessment criteria in the variation to recognise the need to provide for coordination between the Building Act and the RMA, to avoid regulatory duplication.</p> <p>Include allowance for the demolition and removal and re-siting of buildings as a permitted activity in all areas and zones, except in relation to any scheduled identified heritage buildings, or any properly established heritage precinct.</p> <p>Include provision for relocation of</p>

Sub. No	Submitter Name	Amendment # and Provision	Support/ In-Part/Oppose	Summary of Submission	Decision Requested
				and buildings.	<p>dwelling and buildings subject to the following performance standards/ conditions (or to same or similar effect):</p> <p><u>Relocation of Buildings</u></p> <p><u>Relocated buildings are permitted where the following matters can be satisfied:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) <u>Any relocated building can comply with the relevant standards for Permitted Activities in the District Plan;</u> (b) <u>Any relocated dwelling must have been previously designed, built and used as a dwelling;</u> (c) <u>A building inspection report shall accompany the building consent for the building/dwelling. The report is to identify all reinstatement work required to the exterior of the building/dwelling; and</u> (d) <u>The building shall be located on permanent foundations approved by the building consent, no later than [2] months of the building being moved to the site.</u> (e) <u>All work required to reinstate the</u>

Sub. No	Submitter Name	Amendment # and Provision	Support/ In-Part/ Oppose	Summary of Submission	Decision Requested
					<p><u>exterior of any relocated building/dwelling, including the siting of the building/dwelling on permanent foundations, shall be completed within 12 months of the building being delivered to the site.</u></p> <p>Include, in the event that relocation of a building/dwelling is not a permitted activity due to non-compliance with permitted activity performance standards, a default rule that provides for relocation of dwellings and buildings that is no more restrictive than restricted discretionary activity (provided that such application be expressly provided for on a non-notified, non-service basis) subject to the following assessment criteria (or to the same effect):</p> <p><u>Restricted Discretionary Activity (on a non-notified, non-service basis)</u></p> <p><u>Where an activity is not permitted by this Rule, Council will have regard to the following matters when considering an application for resource consent:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i) <u>Proposed landscaping;</u> ii) <u>The proposed timetable for</u>

Sub. No	Submitter Name	Amendment # and Provision	Support/ In-Part/Oppose	Summary of Submission	Decision Requested
					<p><u>completion of the work required to reinstate the exterior of the building and connections to services;</u></p> <p>iii) <u>The appearance of the building following reinstatement.</u></p> <p>Delete any provision for a performance bond or any restrictive covenants for the removal, re-siting and relocation of dwellings and buildings.</p> <p>Include provision to restrict (as a discretionary activity rule) the use of restrictive covenants for the removal, re-siting and relocation of dwellings and buildings.</p> <p>Include any consequential amendments required to give effect to the submission.</p>
109.00	Warwick Meyer	<p>Amendment 1 – Greenbelt Residential Zone – Rule 18.6 Conditions for Permitted Activities</p> <p>Amendment 14 - Gladstone Green Structure Plan:</p>	In-Part	<p>Submitter seeks special Greenbelt Residential zoning (or Rural Zoning) for Pt Lot 1 DP 86925 to include permitted activities (proposed Rule 18.6 or other) relating to the zone for vehicle service stations, truck stops, visitor accommodation, food preparation and sales and local produce stores, and that these activities are restricted to being permitted only after or in conjunction with intersection improvement/ designation.</p>	<p>Amend the underlying Greenbelt Residential or Rural zoning of Pt Lot 1 DP 86925, Levin to provide for vehicle service stations, truck stops, visitor accommodation, food preparation and sales and local produce stores as permitted activities following intersection upgrades.</p> <p>Amend the subdivision requirements</p>

Sub. No	Submitter Name	Amendment # and Provision	Support/ In-Part/Oppose	Summary of Submission	Decision Requested
		Schedule 8 – Structure Plan		Submitter also notes that the subdivision of the land should be based on the rules for commercial activities once the special zoning has been activated and that the Gladstone Greenbelt Structure Plan and Planning Map 30 should be amended to include the special permitted activities.	relating to Pt Lot 1 DP 86925, Levin to enable future subdivision to be assessed in accordance with commercial requirements. Amend Gladstone Greenbelt Structure Plan: Schedule 8 – Structure Plan and Planning Map 30 to include special permitted activities.
110.00	The NZ Transport Agency	Amendment 1 – Rule 18.2(d) – Controlled Activities	Support	The Submitter supports the overall intent and direction of the Proposed District Plan.	Retain Rule 18.2(d) as notified.
110.01	The NZ Transport Agency	Amendment 1 – Rule 18.6.4(c) – Building Setbacks from Boundaries and Separation Distances	Support	The Submitter supports the overall intent and direction of the Proposed District Plan.	Retain Rule 18.6.4(c) as notified
110.02	The NZ Transport Agency	Amendment 1 – Rule 18.6.11 – Noise Insulation	Support	The Submitter supports the overall intent and direction of the Proposed District Plan.	Retain Rule 18.6.11 as notified
110.03	The NZ Transport Agency	Amendment 1 – Rule 18.6.23 – Safety Visibility at Road and Rail Intersections	Support	The Submitter supports the overall intent and direction of the Proposed District Plan.	Retain Rule 18.6.23 as notified
110.04	The NZ Transport Agency	Amendment 1 – 18.6.26(g) - Signs	In-Part	The Submitter supports the overall intent and direction of the Proposed District Plan but seeks a further advice note to be included regarding Transport Agency consultation.	Amend Rule 18.6.26(g) to include the following: <u>Note: Consultation with the Transport Agency is required for any sign visible from a State Highway.</u>

Sub. No	Submitter Name	Amendment # and Provision	Support/ In-Part/Oppose	Summary of Submission	Decision Requested
110.05	The NZ Transport Agency	Amendment 1 – Rule 18.8.9(v) - Signs	In-Part	The Submitter supports the overall intent and direction of the Proposed District Plan but seeks a minor amendment to clarify the intent of the rule.	Amend as follows: (v) The approval of the <u>NZTA Transport Agency</u> where the sign fronts <u>is visible from</u> a State Highway.
110.06	The NZ Transport Agency	Amendment 1 - Rule 19.6.6(a)	Support	The Submitter supports the overall intent and direction of the Proposed District Plan.	Retain Rule 19.6.6(a) as notified.
110.07	The NZ Transport Agency	Amendment 14 - Gladstone Green Structure Plan: Schedule 8 – Structure Plan	In-Part	<p>The Submitter recognises that indicative intersection upgrades have been identified at Queen Street East and Tararua Road on the Structure Plan, but notes that it cannot afford to invest everywhere to accommodate growth, that transport infrastructure takes time to put in place and that a carefully planned approach to allocating and enabling growth when certain transport investment related triggers are reached is required.</p> <p>The Submitter is of the view that the cost of any upgrades as a result of the development in these areas would need to be from funding sources other than the National Land Transport Fund.</p>	Inferred – Amend Gladstone Green Structure Plan to reflect funding source to be other than the National Land Transport Fund.
111.00	Lesley Anne and Richard Walker/ Waikawa Edgewater Ltd.	Amendment 23 – Planning Map 36: Waikawa Beach: Rezone area from Open Space to Rural	Support	Submitter supports rezoning of Lot 1 DP7432 and Lots 1 and 2 DP8850, Waikawa Beach from open space to rural.	Retain proposed Rural zoning of Lot 1 DP7432 and Lots 1 and 2 DP8850 as notified.
112.00	Todd Isaacs	Amendment 14 - Gladstone Green	Oppose	Submitter opposes the minimum 2000m ² lot size proposed at the rear of Pohutukawa	Amend Gladstone Green Structure Plan to increase the minimum 2000m ² lot

Sub. No	Submitter Name	Amendment # and Provision	Support/ In-Part/Oppose	Summary of Submission	Decision Requested
		Structure Plan: Schedule 8 – Structure Plan		Drive, Levin as all the lots along the Drive are between 3500 m ² and 5000 m ² .	size of lots adjacent to those adjoining the western properties in Pohutukawa Drive, Levin (sizes suggested between 3500m ² – 5000m ²)
113.00	Cameron Walker	Amendment 23 – Planning Map 36: Waikawa Beach: Rezone area from Open Space to Rural	Support	Submitter supports the rezoning of Lot 1 DP7432 and Lots 1 and 2 DP8850, Waikawa Beach from open space to rural.	Retain proposed Rural zoning of Lot 1 DP7432 and Lots 1 and 2 DP8850 as notified.
114.00	Graham Henry	Amendment 20 – Planning Map 26: Hamaria Road, Levin – Rezone area from Industrial to Rural	Oppose	Submitter opposes rezoning of Lots 3 and 4 DP58667, Levin from industrial to rural as the rural noise restrictions would affect their current operations and no allowance has been made for a buffer area.	Retain current Industrial zoning of Lots 3 and 4 DP58667, Levin.
115.00	Barry Aylwovel	Amendment 20 – Planning Map 26: Hamaria Road, Levin – Rezone area from Industrial to Rural	Oppose	Submitter opposes rezoning of Lots 3 and 4 DP58667, Levin from industrial to rural due to current lack of suitable industrial land and potential reverse sensitivity issues.	Retain current industrial zoning of Lots 3 and 4 DP58667, Levin.
116.00	Jane Andersen	Amendment 23 – Planning Map 36: Waikawa Beach: Rezone area from Open Space to Rural	Support	Submitter supports the rezoning of Lot 1 DP7432 and Lots 1 and 2 DP8850, Waikawa Beach from open space to rural.	Retain proposed Rural zoning of Lot 1 DP7432 and Lots 1 and 2 DP8850 as notified.
117.00	Kiwi Rail	Amendment 1 - Conditions for Permitted Activities	Support	Submitter supports the proposed 15m setback for all buildings from any rail boundary.	Retain provision (Rule 18.6.4(g)).

Sub. No	Submitter Name	Amendment # and Provision	Support/ In-Part/Oppose	Summary of Submission	Decision Requested
		Rule 18.6.4(g) – Building Setbacks from Boundaries and Separation Distances			
117.01	Kiwi Rail	Amendment 1 - Conditions for Permitted Activities Rule 18.6.9(d)(iii) - Noise	Support	Submitter supports the proposal that the noise limits prescribed in Rule 18.6.9(a) and (b) do not apply to the operation of the NIMT, and notes that irrespective of the District Plan provisions the overriding duty at Section 16 of the RMA to avoid unreasonable noise applies to Kiwi Rail activities.	Retain provision (Rule 18.6.9(d)(iii)).
117.02	Kiwi Rail	Amendment 1 - Conditions for Permitted Activities Rule 18.6.11(b) – Noise Insulation	Support	Submitter supports the requirement for noise insulation in relation to noise sensitive activities within 30m of the rail corridor boundary, and acknowledges that this setback is the same as was contained in its submission in 2012 on the District Plan Review. However, the submitter notes that at the time of the next review of these standards a distance of 100m is likely to be sought in relation to noise sensitive activities and mitigation.	Retain provision (Rule 18.6.11(b)).
117.03	Kiwi Rail	Amendment 1 - Conditions for Permitted Activities Rule 18.6.23(a)	Support	Submitter considers the protection of sight lines at level crossings is important for public safety and is therefore supportive of standards being imposed to ensure they are maintained.	Retain provision (Rule 18.6.23(a)).
117.04	Kiwi Rail	Amendment 1 -	Support	Submitter supports specific reference to	Retain provision (Rule 18.6.32(a)).

Sub. No	Submitter Name	Amendment # and Provision	Support/ In-Part/Oppose	Summary of Submission	Decision Requested
		Conditions for Permitted Activities Rule 18.6.32(a) – National Grid Corridor		utilities within the rail corridor being exempt from the setback from the national grid corridor as the nature of the rail network is such that relocating it is not practicable nor always feasible.	
117.05	Kiwi Rail	Amendment 1 - Matters of Control for Subdivision of Land Rule 18.7.1(ii) – Subdivision of Land	Support	Submitter supports that i access to the site, including any access over or under railway lines, is a specific matter for consideration at the time of subdivision.	Retain provision (Rule 18.7.1(ii)).
117.06	Kiwi Rail	Amendment 22 - Planning Map 28A: North Service Lane, Levin – Rezoned area from Rural to Road	Support	Submitter supports amending the underlying zoning of North Lane to road as opposed to the current rural zoning.	Retain provision (Planning Map 28A).
118.00	Brian and Ann Thomas	Amendment 8 – Table 21-3: Accessway Dimensions	Oppose	Submitter considers the change takes a “one size fits all” approach and that greater flexibility is required.	Amend Table 21-3: Accessway Dimensions to provide more flexibility.
118.01	Brian and Ann Thomas	Amendment 1 - Rule 18.4 – Discretionary Activities	In-Part	Submitter suggests some further clarification required around implementation timeframes.	Amend to clarify implementation timeframes.
119.00	David Pearson	Amendment 14 - Gladstone Green Structure Plan: Schedule 8 – Structure Plan, Queen Street/	Oppose	Submitter opposes the storm water drain flowing via Queen Street then into Lake Horowhenua.	Include direction that HDC provide full treatment of storm water from Queen Street before it enters Lake Horowhenua.

Sub. No	Submitter Name	Amendment # and Provision	Support/ In-Part/Oppose	Summary of Submission	Decision Requested
		Tararua Road			
119.01	David Pearson	Amendment 14 - Gladstone Green Structure Plan: Schedule 8 – Structure Plan, Queen Street/ Tararua Road	Oppose	Submitter opposes the 2000m ² minimum lot sizes as it puts more pressure on the town sewage and will result in further spills into Lake Horowhenua.	Include direction for HDC to provide for present and extended full treatment of sewage and wastewater by re-siting the sewage treatment plant away from Lake Horowhenua.
119.02	David Pearson	Amendment 14 - Gladstone Green Structure Plan: Schedule 8 – Structure Plan, Queen Street/ Tararua Road	Oppose	Submitter opposes pedestrian over-bridge design on Queen Street as it does not provide adequate space to cater for development of the new expressway.	Amend over-bridge design to provide for pedestrians, cyclists and prams and bridge width to accommodate potential road development. Inferred: Amend Gladstone Green Structure Plan.
119.03	David Pearson	Amendment 14 - Gladstone Green Structure Plan: Schedule 8 – Structure Plan, Queen Street/ Tararua Road	Oppose	Submitter opposes the bridge connection from Liverpool Street as it will encourage theft and is unnecessary given the proposed Queen Street over-bridge.	Delete the proposed Liverpool Street over-bridge. Inferred: Amend Gladstone Green Structure Plan.
119.04	David Pearson	Amendment 14 - Gladstone Green Structure Plan: Schedule 8 – Structure Plan, Queen Street/ Tararua Road	Oppose	Submitter opposes light pollution generated by street or corner lights.	Include direction that street and corner lighting has zero upward and sideways flare to prevent unnecessary light pollution.
120.00	Francee Thompson	Amendment 23 – Planning Map 36:	Support	Submitter supports the rezoning of Lot 1 DP7432 and Lots 1 and 2 DP8850, Waikawa	Include scope for trees to be planted to encourage birdlife and enhance the

Sub. No	Submitter Name	Amendment # and Provision	Support/ In-Part/Oppose	Summary of Submission	Decision Requested
		Waikawa Beach: Rezone area from Open Space to Rural		Beach from open space to rural.	visual appearance of the area. Inferred: Retain proposed Rural zoning of Lot 1 DP7432 and Lots 1 and 2 DP8850 as notified.
121.00	Shane and Tania Jack	Amendment 14 - Gladstone Green Structure Plan: Schedule 8 – Structure Plan	Oppose	Submitter opposes the proposed 10m buffer/road reserve as its location runs through two of their properties (92 and 94 Pohutukawa Drive), and considers that the road reserve will have a detrimental impact on their daily life, personal privacy and security and result in an increase in traffic using the road reserve to access Gladstone Road and the proposed Greenbelt Connector Road.	Amend proposed Gladstone Green Structure Plan to relocate buffer/road reserve either alongside the Greenbelt Connector Road or through existing undeveloped farmland (Plan provided).
122.00	Gail Woodhouse	Amendment 14 - Gladstone Green Structure Plan: Schedule 8 – Structure Plan	Oppose	Submitter opposes the creation of diagonal pedestrian/cycle way as it is considered arbitrary, does not take into account existing boundaries or enhance the environment, will decrease the value of their property and does not respect existing residents.	Delete the proposed diagonal connection on the Gladstone Green Structure Plan Map and include an alternative connection that aligns with Tararua, Gladstone and Queen Streets. or Amend the location of the proposed cycleway on the Gladstone Green Structure Plan Map to follow existing boundaries and avoid existing residences.
123.00	Jane Evans	Amendment 14 - Gladstone Green Structure Plan: Schedule 8 – Structure Plan	Oppose	Submitter opposes the proposed road reserve as it will cause loss of grazing land and have a potentially detrimental impact on the value of their property.	Amend the proposed Gladstone Green Structure Plan to relocate the proposed buffer/road reserve to land not currently occupied by residential dwellings or livestock (the opposite side of 100 and 102 Gladstone Road is

Sub. No	Submitter Name	Amendment # and Provision	Support/ In-Part/Oppose	Summary of Submission	Decision Requested
					suggested).
124.00	Truebridge Associates Limited	Amendment 1 - Rule 18.2(e) – Controlled Activities	In-Part	Submitter seeks careful control of all relocated buildings (new and second hand) to combat inconsiderate relocation in the rural zone or negative effects on the area and district.	Include a new rule in 18.4 to make relocation of previously occupied buildings, irrespective of size, a discretionary activity. Amend Rule 18.2(e) to make relocation of new, unoccupied buildings a controlled activity.
124.01	Truebridge Associates Limited	Amendment 1 - Rule 18.6.4(f) – Conditions for Permitted Activities – Building Setbacks from Boundaries and Separation Distance	In-Part	Submitter seeks to amend Rural Zone Boundary rule to 3m to align with Greenbelt Zone Boundary Rule to eliminate the confusion and current inconsistency between the boundary separation distances in the two zones.	Amend Rule 18.6.4(f) as follows: (f) No dwelling shall be located closer than 15m <u>3m</u> from any Rural Zone Boundary.
124.02	Truebridge Associates Limited	Amendment 1 - Rule 18.6.5(a) – Separation Distance Between Detached Residential Dwelling Units	Oppose	Submitter seeks to have the Rule deleted as it is already covered by Rule 18.6.4(b).	Delete Rule 18.6.5(a).
124.03	Truebridge Associates Ltd	Amendment 1 - Rule 18.7.1 – Matters of Control and Conditions for Controlled Activity – Subdivision of Land	In-Part	Submitter seeks to ensure that the matters of control covered by the rule are consistent with the Environment Court Consent Order and are not applicable to the Waitarere Rise Greenbelt.	Amend Rule 18.7.1 to be consistent with the Environment Court Consent Order on the Waitarere Rise Greenbelt.
124.04	Truebridge	Amendment 7 –	Oppose	Submitter seeks to delete Table 2 of this rule	Delete Table 2 and amend Rule

Sub. No	Submitter Name	Amendment # and Provision	Support/ In-Part/Oppose	Summary of Submission	Decision Requested
	Associates Ltd	Rule 19.7.2(f) – Building Area and Effluent Disposal Area		due to inconsistencies with Horizons One Plan and/or HDC’s Subdivision and Development Principles and Requirements 2014.	<p>19.7.2(f) to refer to the Horizons One Plan - Rule 2.4 Table 2.2; Rule 2.5 Table 2.3 and Rule 6.3.5 Table 6.4.</p> <p>Amend HDC’s Subdivision and Development Principles and Requirements 2014 to refer to the Horizons One Plan - Rule 2.4 Table 2.2; Rule 2.5 Table 2.3 and Rule 6.3.5 Table 6.4.</p> <p>Delete the section entitled ‘Sizing and Locating an Effluent Disposal Field’ in HDC’s Subdivision and Development Principles and Requirements 2014 and include a simple reference to the Horizons One Plan in its place.</p>
124.05	Truebridge Associates Ltd	Amendment 8 – Rule 21.1.7 – Table 21.3 – Access way dimensions	In-Part	Submitter seeks to amend Table 21.3 to better reflect actual requirements for access ways in terms of lot numbers serviced and lengths of the access ways, and to ensure consistency with the existing table in the Operative District Plan and the Environment Court Consent Order issue by Judge BP Dwyer relating to the Waitarere Rise Greenbelt Zone.	<p>Delete and include existing Table 21.3 from the Operative District Plan with the following amendments:</p> <p>Under the heading “Number of Allotments/Site Served” delete the words Up to in all areas and replace with the wording <u>2 to</u>.</p> <p>Under the heading “Maximum Permitted Length” amend:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The maximum length for access legs in a Greenbelt zone to <u>150m</u> for all access legs.

Sub. No	Submitter Name	Amendment # and Provision	Support/ In-Part/Oppose	Summary of Submission	Decision Requested
					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The maximum length for access legs in a Residential zone to <u>75m</u> for all access legs. The maximum length for access legs in a Commercial Zone to <u>100m</u> for all access legs. <p>Under the heading “Required Minimum Legal Width” amend the minimum width for an access way for a single residential lot from 3.5m to <u>3.0m</u>.</p>
124.06	Truebridge Associates Ltd	Amendment 13 – Earthworks Definition	In-Part	Submitter seeks to amend the Earthworks definition as it does not include reference to removal and contains a grammatical error.	Amend the earthworks definition as follows: Earthworks means any alteration to the existing natural ground level including re-shaping, re-contouring, excavation, backfilling, compaction, stripping of vegetation and top soil and depositing or removal of clean fill.
124.07	Truebridge Associates Ltd	Amendment 15 - Subdivision and Development Principles and Requirements 2014 – Part 1 Section 2.2	In-Part	Submitter seeks to amend the last paragraph on page 5 of Part 1, Section 2.2 of the Subdivision and Development Principles and Requirements 2014 in accordance with the Resource Management Act, with particular reference to responsibility for the cost of additional service capacity requirements being borne by the Council.	Amend Part 1, Section 2.2 of the Subdivision and Development Principles and Requirements 2014 as follows: In designing any scheme plan, consideration shall be given to the future development of adjoining land and the council may require the creation of legal roads, road reserve and/or the formation of roads to or near the boundary of adjoining land at

Sub. No	Submitter Name	Amendment # and Provision	Support/ In-Part/Oppose	Summary of Submission	Decision Requested
					the developer's council's cost. <u>Council may also require the increase in capacity of services for potential future development of adjoining land which is not part of the subject land. The cost of the increased capacity, if required will be met by council.</u>
125.00	Horticulture New Zealand	Amendment 5 – Rule 19.4.8(iv) – Rural Zone – Discretionary Activity: Flood Hazard Overlay Area (excluding Moutoa Floodway)	In-Part	Submitter seeks to amend Rule 19.4.8(iv) due to ambiguity and lack of clarity with respect to what is included, particularly provision for storage of on-farm substances subject to meeting the requirements of the HSNO Act.	Amend Rule 19.4.8(iv) as follows: (iv) Any activity involving the storage or disposal of hazardous substances <u>but does not include those hazardous substances, facilities and activities listed in Rule 23.1.1 Exemptions.</u>
125.01	Horticulture New Zealand	Amendment 11 – Network Utilities Definition: Section 26 – General Provisions	In-Part	Submitter seeks inclusion of 'irrigation supply' in the definition to ensure that where water is being supplied as part of an irrigation scheme or group that its conveyance is included as a network utility.	Amend Part (h) of the definition of "network utility" as follows: (h) water supply, <u>irrigation supply</u> , drainage and sewerage systems, pipe, including any pipes that collect, drain, dispose and for conveyance or drainage of water, stormwater, or sewage and/or other wastes or natural gas;
125.02	Horticulture New Zealand	Amendment 13 – Earthworks Definition: Section 26 – General Provisions	Support	Submitter supports the proposed amendment as it will ensure consistency across the Plan.	Retain Earthworks Definition as notified.
125.03	Horticulture New Zealand	Consequential Changes	In-Part	Submitter seeks any necessary changes to be made in order to address matters of	Amend as necessary.

Sub. No	Submitter Name	Amendment # and Provision	Support/ In-Part/Oppose	Summary of Submission	Decision Requested
				inconsistency between the Operative and Proposed Horowhenua District Plan.	
126.00	Prouse Family Trust	Amendment 14 - Gladstone Green Structure Plan: Schedule 8 – Structure Plan	Oppose	Submitter suggests that the proposed vegetation block on Lot 2 DP86925, Levin is too dangerous to retain within an urban area, particularly if subdivision should occur.	Delete the area shown as ‘Existing Vegetation Retained’ on Lot 2 DP86925, Levin from the Gladstone Green Structure Plan Map.
126.01	Prouse Family Trust	Amendment 14 - Gladstone Green Structure Plan: Schedule 8 – Structure Plan	Oppose	Submitter opposes proposed cycleway adjacent to their livestock paddocks as this would impact on their financial livelihood, the care and protection of their farm animals and eventually become an unsafe, secluded alleyway as the area is subdivided.	Delete from the Gladstone Green Structure Plan Map any references to the proposed cycleway/pedestrian connection where they are either associated with or border of Lot 2 DP86925, Levin.
126.02	Prouse Family Trust	Amendment 14 - Gladstone Green Structure Plan: Schedule 8 – Structure Plan	Oppose	Submitter queries the intention of the yellow line indicated on the Gladstone Green Structure Plan adjacent to Queen Street East and seeks clarification as to whether it represents what is currently in place or is an extension of road reserve into private property.	Amend the Gladstone Green Structure Plan to retain the existing frontages along the length of Queen Street East, Levin. That Clarification is provided regarding the landscape buffer and the impact this will have on the front boundary.
127.00	Stephen and Karen Prouse	Amendment 14 - Gladstone Green Structure Plan: Schedule 8 – Structure Plan	Oppose	Submitter suggests the area mapped as existing vegetation on Lot 2 DP86925, Levin is both hazardous and inadequate as trees are largely exotic and nearing the end of their life span.	Delete the area shown as ‘Existing Vegetation Retained’ on Lot 2 DP86925, Levin from the Gladstone Green Structure Plan Map.
127.01	Stephen and Karen Prouse	Amendment 14 - Gladstone Green Structure Plan: Schedule 8 – Structure Plan	Oppose	Submitter opposes proposed cycleway along the western boundary of their property as it would compromise stock safety, increase opportunities for vandalism and poaching and eventually become an unsafe, secluded alleyway as the area is subdivided.	Delete from the Gladstone Green Structure Plan Map any references to the proposed cycleway/pedestrian way being located either on the boundary or within Lot 2 DP86925, Levin.

Sub. No	Submitter Name	Amendment # and Provision	Support/ In-Part/Oppose	Summary of Submission	Decision Requested
127.02	Stephen and Karen Prouse	Amendment 14 - Gladstone Green Structure Plan: Schedule 8 – Structure Plan	Oppose	Submitter opposes further extension of the road reserve landscape buffer along the south side of Queen Street East, Levin and considers there should be no further change to their front boundary and no further intrusion of buffer zone or planting into their historic garden and adjoining clear land.	Retain status quo in relation to existing front road boundaries on Queen Street East. That clarification is provided regarding whether the 10 metre Landscape Buffer with Road reserve will impact on the front boundary and existing garden.
127.03	Stephen and Karen Prouse	Amendment 14 - Gladstone Green Structure Plan: Schedule 8 – Structure Plan	Oppose	Submitter notes that the design of the proposed transport corridor impacts on their western boundary and cattle yard access, and are concerned that this is an unnecessary intrusion given the width of the corridor shown in the first draft Gladstone Greenbelt Schedule.	Amend the proposed location of the Transport Corridor on the Gladstone Green Structure Plan Map to a position that corresponds with that indicated on the 2009 Gladstone Greenbelt Structure Plan (1 st Draft).

Submitter Index

(Submitters Organised Alphabetically)

Submitter	Submission Number
Alliance Group Limited	107
Barry Aylward	115
Brian and Ann Thomas	118
Cameron Walker	113
David Pearson	119
Federated Farmers of New Zealand	102
Francee Thompson	120
Gail Woodhouse	122
Glenn and Rebecca Kaukau	103
Graham Henry	114
Horticulture New Zealand	125
House Movers Section of New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association (Inc).	108
Jane Andersen	116
Jane Evans	123
Kiwi Rail	117
Lesley Anne and Richard Walker	111
Malcolm McEwen and Sandra Tustin	104
Mark and Hayley Gilberd	106

Submitter	Submission Number
New Zealand Defence Force	105
Prouse Family Trust	126
Shane and Tania Jack	121
Stephen and Karen Prouse	127
The NZ Transport Agency	110
Todd Isaacs	112
Transpower New Zealand Ltd	101
Truebridge Associates Limited	124
Warwick Meyer	109