

# Horowhenua District Plan

## Proposed Plan Change 1

*(Historic Heritage – Update of Schedule 2 to include additional buildings, structures and sites and consequential other amendments)*

### **Summary of Submissions (Ordered by Submitter)**

February 2018

## 1. Introduction

This document, Summary of Submissions, summarises the decisions requested for each submission received on Proposed Plan Change 1. Where no decision has been specifically requested, Council Officers have, where possible, inferred the decision requested from the text of the submission.

Proposed Plan Change 1 was publicly notified on 3 November 2017 with the period for submissions closing on 5 December 2017.

A total of seven (7) submissions were received in relation to the proposed change, and this document provides a summary of those submissions in accordance with Clause 7 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA).

It also includes the names and addresses of submitters so that they may be served a copy of any further submissions relating to their submission.

Copies of the full submissions can be inspected at the following locations during opening hours:

- Horowhenua District Council's Levin office: 126 Oxford Street, Levin.
- Te Takeretanga o Kura-hau-pō: Bath Street, Levin.
- Te Awahou Nieuwe Stroom: Main Street, Foxton
- Shannon Library: Plimmer Terrace, Shannon.

The full submissions can also be viewed or downloaded from Council's website: [www.horowhenua.govt.nz/PPC1](http://www.horowhenua.govt.nz/PPC1)

## 2. Further Submissions

Further submissions must be in accordance with Clause 8 of Schedule 1 of the RMA. They can only support (in whole or in part) or oppose (in whole or in part) the submissions received on the proposed change, including any associated reasons. In supporting or opposing a submission only those matters raised in the original submission may be commented on.

The following persons may make a further submission in support of, or in opposition to, submissions already received:

- Any person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest; and
- Any person that has an interest in the proposed plan change greater than the interest that the general public has; and
- Horowhenua District Council itself.

Any further submission should be made using Form 6 of the Resource Management (Forms, Fees, Procedures) Regulations 2003 or closely follow this format. Failure to include all necessary information or to complete the form correctly may prevent the further submission from being considered. Further Submission forms (Form 6) can be obtained from the Council Service Centres and Public Libraries or found on Council's website: [www.horowhenua.govt.nz/PPC1](http://www.horowhenua.govt.nz/PPC1)

Further submissions will need to be supplied to Horowhenua District Council by **4:00pm on Monday 19 February 2018**.

Further submissions can either be:

Delivered to: Horowhenua District Council, 126 Oxford Street, Levin

Posted to: Strategic Planning, Horowhenua District Council, Private Bag 4002, Levin 5540

Faxed to: (06) 366 0983

Emailed to: [districtplan@horowhenua.govt.nz](mailto:districtplan@horowhenua.govt.nz)

Filled in online at: [www.horowhenua.govt.nz/PPC1](http://www.horowhenua.govt.nz/PPC1)

**Important:** Any person making a further submission on Proposed Plan Change 1 is required under Clause 8A of Schedule 1 of the RMA to send a copy of it to the person who made the original submission. The copy must be sent to the original submitter within five (5) working days of submitting the further submission to Horowhenua District Council.

Section 5 of this document includes the address for service of each person or organisation that has made a submission on Proposed Plan Change 1.

### 3. Process from here

Once the Further Submission period has closed (19 February), a hearing date will be set and a Planning Report identifying and summarising all the submissions received will be produced. The Planning Report will provide an impartial assessment of the merits of these submissions, including whether the matters raised are valid considerations under the RMA. It will also contain any recommended amendments to the Plan Change to address matters raised by submitters.

Before a formal Council hearing is held, a pre-hearing meeting may be held to help clarify, mediate or facilitate a resolution on any matters raised in submissions.

The Planning Report will be circulated to all submitters and further submitters in advance of the formal Council hearing. At least 10 working days' notice will be given of the hearing date.

Anyone can attend the Council hearing, however only those submitters who have indicated that they wish to be heard will have the opportunity to speak. Submitters can nominate a representative or consultant to speak on their behalf.

The Hearings Committee will consider all relevant matters before making a recommendation to Council for a decision.

All submitters will receive formal notice of the decision on the Plan Change, including the reasons behind the decision reached. The decision will also be publicly notified.

Any submitter who is not satisfied with the decision has the further opportunity, under Clause 14 of Schedule 1 of the RMA, to lodge an appeal with the Environment Court.

### 4. Additional Information

For more information please contact Caitlin O'Shea or David McCorkindale via:

Phone on 06 366 0999

Email at [districtplan@horowhenua.govt.nz](mailto:districtplan@horowhenua.govt.nz)

## 5. Submitters

The following table provides the names and addresses for service of all those who made a submission in relation to Proposed Plan Change 1. Each submission has also been assigned a unique reference number (e.g. 01/01).

The purpose of this table is to help any person who makes a further submission to meet their legal obligation to supply a copy of their further submission to the person who made the original submission. The copy must be sent to the original submitter within five (5) working days of submitting the further submission to Horowhenua District Council.

Sub. No.	Submitter Name	Address for service	Wish to be heard
01/07	Karen & Stephen Prouse	1024 Queen Street East, Levin 5510	Yes

Sub. No.	Submitter Name	Address for service	Wish to be heard
01/01	James Harper	8 Main Street, Foxton 4814	No
01/02	Heritage New Zealand - Finbar Kiddle	PO Box 2629, Wellington 6140	No
01/03	Potangotango Foundation - Anne-Marie Hunt	17 Nash Parade, Foxton Beach 4815	Yes
01/04	Horizons Regional Council - Sarah Carswell	Private Bag 11025, Manawatu Mail Centre, Palmerston North 4442	Yes
01/05	Karen & Stephen Prouse	1024 Queen Street East, Levin 5510	Yes
01/06	Karen & Stephen Prouse	1024 Queen Street East, Levin 5510	Yes

## 6. Summary of Decisions Requested

The below table summarises the decisions requested or inferred by submitters to Proposed Plan Change 1. This is to enable people to establish whether a submission might be of interest to them. The summary is not a substitute for inspecting the original submission itself, and it is recommended that this is done once you have identified any submissions of particular interest.

In addition to the reference numbers assigned to the submissions received (i.e. 01/03 being Plan Change1, Submission Number 3), a unique numeric identifier (i.e. 01/03.1) has also been applied to the specific points/matters raised in each submission in order to provide greater specificity and extra clarity. This unique identifier(s) should be specifically referenced in any further submission you may wish to make relating to an original submission.

The submissions below have been summarised in numerical order. An alternative document is also available that contains the submissions organised according to the issue or provision.

Where it has been specified or is clear that the submission is either in support of, or opposition, to the proposed change this has also been identified in the summary table below. The term 'In-part' has generally been applied in the table to submissions that provide qualified support or opposition to a proposed provision, subject to incorporating further suggested changes. 'Neutral' has been used where the submitter has specifically identified they are neutral and 'Not specified' has been used where the submitter has not indicated whether they support or oppose and it is not clear.

Where specific wording changes have been requested to Proposed Plan Change 1 by submitters these have been shown in the summary table as follows:

- Underlined text = New text to be included
- ~~Strikethrough text~~ = Text to be deleted

Sub. No.	Submitter Name	Issue/Provision	Support/In-part/Oppose	Summary of Submission	Decision Sought
01/01.1	James Harper	Amendment 3 – Schedule 2: Historic Heritage – Buildings, Structures and Sites	In-part	Supports the addition of his buildings to the Schedule.  Seeks amendment to Note 2 to include the front part of the Manawatu Herald building. Also notes that as the construction date of both the original building and later addition are known, inclusion of the reference to the term 'circa' should be removed.	Requests the inclusion of a more specific description of the protected sections of the Manawatu Herald building to ensure effective heritage protection.

Sub. No.	Submitter Name	Issue/Provision	Support/In-part/Oppose	Summary of Submission	Decision Sought
01/02.1	Heritage New Zealand - Finbar Kiddle	Amendment 1 – Methods for Issue 13.1 & Objective 13.1.1	Support	Supports the commitment Council is showing to the identification and protection of historic heritage, especially sites of significance to Māori.	Retain these provisions as proposed.
01/02.2	Heritage New Zealand - Finbar Kiddle	Amendment 2 – Issue 13.2	Support	Supports the inclusion of additional text as it provides greater specificity.	Retain this provision as proposed.
01/02.3	Heritage New Zealand - Finbar Kiddle	Amendment 3 – Schedule 2: Historic Heritage – Buildings, Structures and Sites  Amendments 4, 5, 6 & 7 – Planning Maps	Support	Supports the process used to identify heritage features for inclusion in the District Plan. Also supports the inclusion of the proposed heritage buildings, structures and sites.	Retain these provisions as proposed.
01/03.1	Potangotango Foundation - Anne-Marie Hunt	Whole plan change	Not specified	Notes that the plan change does not include sites significant to tangata whenua such as Lake Horowhenua, particularly when these sites are of far more historical significance than post contact heritage. Also notes that the Council is legally required to take into account the principles of the Treaty of Waitangi, and that the Resource Management Act describes the relationship of Māori to their wāhi tapu and other taonga	Immediately commence a process to introduce plan changes that will protect sites of significance to tangata whenua in the District.

Sub. No.	Submitter Name	Issue/Provision	Support/In-part/Oppose	Summary of Submission	Decision Sought
				as a matter of national importance.	
01/04.1	Horizons Regional Council - Sarah Carswell	Amendment 3 – Schedule 2: Historic Heritage – Buildings, Structures and Sites	Support	Supports the proposal to update Schedule 2.	Retain this provision as proposed.
01/04.2	Horizons Regional Council - Sarah Carswell	Amendment 1 – Methods for Issue 13.1 & Objective 13.1.1	Support	Supports the amendments to these provisions as they give effect to Policy 6-12(a) of the Horizons One Plan which states that 'territorial authorities must develop and maintain a schedule of known historic heritage for their district to be included in their district plan'.	Retain these provisions as proposed.
01/05.1	Karen and Stephen Prouse	Amendment 2 – Issue 13.2, Objective 13.2.1 and Policies 13.2.2 to 13.2.8	Support	Supports the additional wording proposed as historic places and settings should be protected as they are 'a finite resource and once lost cannot be replaced'.	Retain these provisions as proposed.
01/06.1	Karen and Stephen Prouse	Section 32 Report – Option 1	Support	Supports the adoption of Option 1 as outlined in the Section 32 Report.  However, notes that property owner concerns over the extent and implications of regulatory measures may be why some heritage properties are not listed, and that the Council needs to consider the	Explore the use of non-regulatory measures and other voluntary mechanisms to incentivise the enhancement of heritage and work with property owners to achieve this.

Sub. No.	Submitter Name	Issue/Provision	Support/In-part/Oppose	Summary of Submission	Decision Sought
				extent of the regulatory measures imposed and the manner they go about it.	
01/07.1	Karen and Stephen Prouse	Amendment 1 – Methods for Issue 13.1 & Objective 13.1.1	Support	Supports the amendments. However, notes that it is flawed to assume the list in Schedule 2 is complete and requests the inclusion of an additional bullet point outlining how Council will address future nominations/requests in a timely manner in order to fulfil its responsibilities under the Resource Management Act to preserve heritage.	Add a further bullet point which outlines the process Council will use to timely consider future Schedule 2 nominations/requests.

**Further Submissions must be received by Horowhenua District Council before 4:00pm Monday 19 February 2018.**