

Submission Form Annual Plan 2017/18 Consultation



Submission date: 05/04/2017 15:08 PM

Receipt number: 29

Question	Response
Notes for Submitters	
Contact Details	
Title:	Ms
Full Name:	Joanna Sim
Name of Organisation (if applicable):	
Postal Address for Service:	
Postcode:	5571
Telephone:	021 0732023
Mobile:	021 0732023
Email:	joleesim@gmail.com
Preferred method of communication:	Email
Hearing of Submissions	
Do you wish to present your comments to Council in person at a hearing?:	No
Sign language interpretation required?	
Would you prefer to speak on:	
Would you prefer to present your submission in the:	
Topic 1: Shared Pathways	
Options for Shared Pathways:	Option 3: Allocate \$250,000 to shared pathways for 2017/18

Question	Response
Reasons why this is your preferred option for Shared Pathways:	I think it is really important to have areas that non-vehicular traffic can use, especially in this ever increasing speed of our world, the new expressway and the speeding drivers on our rural roads. I would also like to see these cycleways be pedestrian friendly if there are no existing walking tracks in the same area and ditto for horse riders.
Topic 2: Heritage Incentive Funding	
Options for Heritage Incentive Funding:	
Reasons why this is your preferred option for Heritage Incentive Funding:	
Topic 3: Significance and Engagement Policy	
Options for the Significance and Engagement Policy:	
Reasons why this is your preferred option for the Significance and Engagement Policy:	
Additional Comments	
Comments:	I think a walking/cycling path that connects Lake Papaitonga and Lake Horowhenua would be a positive step for the Horowhenua region & encourage visitors. When Lake Horowhenua is cleaner it will be an absolute asset to the region. Lake Papaitonga is already a secret gem with fascinating maori and european history in addition to its outstanding natural values - it could be appreciated by more people - both local and from outside the region.
Submission Attachments	
What other projects or ideas should Council be considering for 2018/19 and beyond?	
Do you agree with what we have identified? What else could we look into?	Be careful of the ever expanding cabbage patch. It will dominate the region, the roads and put people off buying rural blocks near intensive horticulture. I am witnessing the buying of land by market gardeners who are cutting down all hedges, trees, fences and sheds to make way for more cabbages. Four lifestyle blocks have been converted to brassica crops in the past year on my road alone - removing nice neighbours, cramming many temporary workers into houses, putting more mud on the roads, creating more dust, more tractor traffic and destroying any rural character of the properties/road and therefore devaluing the region.
Privacy Act 1993	
Submission Processing	\$0.00

Tamara Catchpole

From: Noel Hodson
Sent: Thursday, 6 April 2017 10:06 a.m.
To: Records Processing
Subject: FW: annual plan submission
Attachments: Submission HDC annual plan 2017.docx

Hi

Please can this be actioned.

Thanks Noel

Noel Hodson

Customer Services Officer • Āpiha Ratonga Kiritaki

126 Oxford Street, Levin • Private Bag 4002, Levin 5540
(06) 366 0999 • M: +64 27 468 1915
www.horowhenua.govt.nz

Working together to take Horowhenua from Good to Great



From: GJ and CM Kane [<mailto:kanevale@xtra.co.nz>]
Sent: Wednesday, 5 April 2017 8:33 p.m.
To: Customer Services - Public
Subject: annual plan submission

Please forward to correct place

Thanks Geoff

I wish to speak to this submission.

May be a problem as also rostered for Jury duty 6th May.

Submission HDC annual plan 5-4-17

From Geoff Kane.

Farmer.

Thankyou for the opportunity to present this submission.

It is important that rates are spent where they are supposed to be used.

That is infrastructure, water, and growth of our community.

The large increase in the general rate affects us as farmers badly and in my case over several blocks of land spread throughout the Horowhenua, the increases range from 10% to considerably more.

Example is the dairy farm on Hokio road that has gone from \$10,000 to \$11,000.

Agriculture is and for the foreseeable future will be the lifeblood and major employer of this district.

Not always directly on the land but associated industries, eg freezing works and box factory.

There has been too much emphasis on "feel-good" projects in the council ,eg events co-ordinators, community centres and not making the rates you collect look after council infrastructure.

Shared pathways are good and suggest \$100,000 but must be leveraged against other funding.

Also where they are used.

The Shannon to Mangore road is used by an average of 3 people a day and mown roadsides is sufficient, but if on Rosilyn road would be well used, or Tararua road.

Economic development is also important but it may be self funding now as the new highway will bring as much growth as we can handle but needs planning.

Ways need to be found that these are targeted rates and not general.

The NE corner of Levin development is causing a lot of stress and anxiety to farmers downstream of it. When combined with increased development in Waihou road, Queen street area it is overloading the Kop. Stream. More consultation and resolutions must be urgently found here.

I wish to speak to this submission.

Thankyou

Geff Kane 0274451251

Submission Form Annual Plan 2017/18 Consultation



Submission date: 06/04/2017 9:30 AM

Receipt number: 31

Question	Response
Notes for Submitters	
Contact Details	
Title:	Mr
Full Name:	Colin Norman Brown
Name of Organisation (if applicable):	
Postal Address for Service:	Levin
Postcode:	5510
Telephone:	063670911
Mobile:	0274632162
Email:	colin-brown@xtra.co.nz
Preferred method of communication:	Email
Hearing of Submissions	
Do you wish to present your comments to Council in person at a hearing?:	No
Sign language interpretation required?	
Would you prefer to speak on:	
Would you prefer to present your submission in the:	
Topic 1: Shared Pathways	
Options for Shared Pathways:	Option 3: Allocate \$250,000 to shared pathways for 2017/18

Question	Response
Reasons why this is your preferred option for Shared Pathways:	<p>I would like to strongly support the allocation of \$250,000 in this years plan for the development of shared pathways. If possible, this should be retained as an annual expenditure until the development of a comprehensive and integrated network of shared pathways and safe roads is completed. The development of a shared pathway network will have many positive spin-offs. Experience in other regions shows that the provision of shared pathways contributes to tourism and economic activity, improves road safety, enhances community health and well-being and is a good way of promoting the recreational options available to visitors and residents. In the long term the network could connect to other cycling initiatives involving neighbouring regions as part of the national network.</p> <p>The Horowhenua is well behind the eight ball on the provision of shared pathways compared to other regions of the country. We are in catch-up mode and this is a shame as we have so much to offer. Only the \$250,000 option will provide enough funding to make an impact quickly. This is essential if we are to show locals, particularly land owners where easements are required, what can be achieved with all there concerns met. It will also demonstrate to central government funding agencies we are prepared to use local funds and therefore worth partnering with. We need to quickly show we are serious about contributing to this national policy. We need to be in a position to negotiate with NZTA around the provision of shared pathways that can be integrated into the construction of any new roading infrastructure. The Kapiti expressway is a great example of what can be achieved with combined funding and commitment. We do not want to miss this opportunity to get it right. With the population growth in the Horowhenua we need to be showcasing a Horowhenua lifestyle that will appeal to a wide range of prospectus residents. Being able to cycle and walk safely is a part of a lifestyle we should be using to attract new residence. To do this we need to get something developed quickly that shows the opportunities within our region to potential investors and people looking to resettle out of major centres. There is ample evidence that the Queen Street East shared pathway is valued and used by a wide range of people. This effect would be multiplied if more options were available.</p> <p>We need to get cracking and I believe through strategic prioritisation of pathways and smart use of the proposed \$250,000 annually we can develop core shared pathway infrastructure over the next few years that will lay the foundation for future investment by a range of partners in a shared pathway network.</p>

Topic 2: Heritage Incentive Funding

Options for Heritage Incentive Funding:	
Reasons why this is your preferred option for Heritage Incentive Funding:	

Topic 3: Significance and Engagement Policy

Options for the Significance and Engagement Policy:	
Reasons why this is your	

Question	Response
preferred option for the Significance and Engagement Policy:	
Additional Comments	
Comments:	
Submission Attachments	
What other projects or ideas should Council be considering for 2018/19 and beyond?	
Do you agree with what we have identified? What else could we look into?	To maximise the benefit of the improved roading coming from the south we need to do all we can to make Levin the preferred stopping point for travelers. In my view our southern SH1 entrance lets the whole town down. Compared to other towns eg Bulls, Sanson, Dannevirke, Waipukurau etc we are not doing enough to look like a desirable stopping point. We need to immediately instigate a Southend improvement project in partnership with business owners in that area. It would not take a lot of funding to improve it. The North end of town is an example of all that is needed. Perception is everything these days and we could so easily ensure the initial perception of Levin is positive. As one business owner said to me, the first impression of a town is the lasting impression.
Privacy Act 1993	
Submission Processing	\$0.00
Office Use Only	
Date Received:	
RM8 Number:	
Submission No:	

Submission Form Annual Plan 2017/18 Consultation



Submission date: 06/04/2017 8:26 AM

Receipt number: 30

Question	Response
Notes for Submitters	
Contact Details	
Title:	Mr
Full Name:	graeme wayne galley
Name of Organisation (if applicable):	
Postal Address for Service:	levin
Postcode:	5510
Telephone:	06 36 86 845
Mobile:	0275873873
Email:	galleysandg@xtra.co.nz
Preferred method of communication:	Email
Hearing of Submissions	
Do you wish to present your comments to Council in person at a hearing?:	No
Sign language interpretation required?	
Would you prefer to speak on:	
Would you prefer to present your submission in the:	
Topic 1: Shared Pathways	
Options for Shared Pathways:	Option 3: Allocate \$250,000 to shared pathways for 2017/18
Reasons why this is your	biking and walking are becoming more popular and people will travel to a safe cycling district. please consider routes that take in views, safe travel and picnic or cafe stops.

Question	Response
preferred option for Shared Pathways:	encourage those cafe (or even solar generated chargers) to have charging ports for bikes as this would be a significant attraction over other districts.
Topic 2: Heritage Incentive Funding	
Options for Heritage Incentive Funding:	Option 2: Allocate \$50,000 per annum
Reasons why this is your preferred option for Heritage Incentive Funding:	i would prefer to target one area in particular ie shannon or foxton township. make an effective difference by focusing on a particular area. once the benefits are known/seen its easier to justify any additional funding.
Topic 3: Significance and Engagement Policy	
Options for the Significance and Engagement Policy:	Option 3: Update the draft Significance and Engagement Policy following feedback from the community and adopt it
Reasons why this is your preferred option for the Significance and Engagement Policy:	given the low turnout at meetings and the repetition of names or voices at council events it is clear that a better/newer policy needs to be introduced. i for one would like to see councillors and senior officers staff a stand at all of the major eventss/markets that are held in the district. why does HDC not have a stand at the AP & I show for example?
Additional Comments	
Comments:	<p>there are so many things to say!</p> <p>recently on a local levin facebook page a discussion was started on things that could be done in the horowhenua that would boost the town as the expressway materialises. i think council needs to be taking a stronger lead in at least facilitating discussions and forums. my preference is to make a serious effort to engage and hear of alternative ideas. ideas that will help to differentiate this district from others should be explored.</p> <p>fo example, lets consider planting alot more decidious trees. these add an attractive colour in the autumn and will become a tourist attraction. New England in Boston (USA) is world famous for it. something elst to consider is the purchase of the tokomaru steam museum and convert this into a steam punk attraction. similar to that one in oamaru. this could be a joint venture or bought/backed/started by council and then on-sold or leased off for another revenue stream.</p> <p>ultimately council needs to be seen to be listening to the changing needs/desires of the district rather than holding onto entrenched/defensive thinking. the world is changing very quickly and i worry that many decision makers are not listening enough those on the peripheries of council circles.</p>
Submission Attachments	
What other projects or ideas should Council be considering for 2018/19 and beyond?	
Do you agree with what we have identified? What else could we look into?	i would like to see a major initiative held that will draw the general public to contribute to a broader view of what the horowhenua will look like after the expressway is completed.



SUBMISSION FORM

ANNUAL PLAN 2017/18 CONSULTATION

Submissions can be:



Delivered to:

Horowhenua District Council,
126 Oxford Street, Levin



Posted to:

Horowhenua District Council,
Private Bag 4002, Levin 5540



Emailed to:

annualplan@horowhenua.govt.nz



Completed online at:

www.horowhenua.govt.nz/consultationdoc



Faxed to:

(06) 366 0983

Submissions must be provided to Council by no later than **5:00pm on Monday, 10 April 2017**

Contact Details (You must provide your contact details for your submission to be considered)

Title (e.g. Mr/Mrs/Miss/Dr): Ms

Full Name: Marilyn Margaret Cranson

Name of Organisation: _____

Address for service: _____

Shannon

Post code: 4821

Telephone: n/a

Mobile: 021 1595011

Email: n/a



Hearing of Submissions (please indicate your preference)

Do you wish to present your submission to Council at a Hearing?

Yes

No

Sign language interpretation required?

Yes

No

If YES then would you prefer to speak on – **Wednesday 3 May**

OR

Thursday 4 May

Would you prefer to present your submission in the – **Daytime**

OR

Evening

Note: It is not guaranteed that every submitter will get their preferred time to present.

I wish to submit the following:

1. That this 2017/18 submission process is nothing short of a dog's breakfast. People do not realise that this is the time to submit ideas and suggestions other than HDC requesting feedback on only a few, not all funding allocations. The last page of the submission form asks for ideas etc for 2018/19. People want to address this years issues.

2. At the meeting in Shannon on the 30th March we were told that Council were not holding consultation on the Annual Plan because of there not being much to discuss. I would have thought there would have been plenty to discuss as you will see further down this page. Is this going to happen every year to shut people up and who is it that decides whether there is much to discuss or not?

3. That the CEO needs to either be dismissed or resign immediately. HDC has more employees than ever and the CEO is still engaging consultants at their exhorbitant hourly rates. Obviously he is not qualified for the job when consultants have to do his job for him. What on earth do HDC employees do. Are they, like the CEO not competent or confident enough to plan and carry out civil works and furthermore putting their names to the job . This engaging consultants for everything is just not on. The ratepayers pay these people wages and it is obvious that we are not getting value for money.

4. Once again as I do each year, I submit that their be more transparency from HDC. For the CEO and the so called Deputy Mayor to state that they will not allow the books to be opened to the public is an outrage. This is our money you are dealing with and with any investment we have, we wish to know how when and why the money was spent. Is there something to hide? and is that why the old guard is so against the new Mayor. Is that to cover up "stuff"?

5. That the pensioer housing not be sold. Back in thee day Ernie Wise or Horace Herring (ex Mayor) stated that he wanted Levin to be a retirement town and got rid of the manufacturing industry.. That has happened. The pensioner housing in Liverpool Street was built in Mayor Duffys regime and was not received well at the time admittedly. To get rid of them now without public consultation is a travesty. Decisions can be overturned. What needs to happen is a referendum form or something similar goes out in the mail with the next rates demand to guage the opinion of the ratepayers. It would not cost alot. Goodness knows there is the staff to do it.

6. That the Deputy Mayor and any of his shareholders/backers consider the Pensioner Housing sale a conflict of interest given that he is building a retirement village and also has purchased the old hospital. The decision of the right wing old guard to sell the flats smells bad and if it smells bad, it is bad. In time, when it is decided that low cost/rental pensioner accomodation is needed, is something all of a sudden going to spring up at either Speldhurst or in the old hospital grounds?. This is not isolated thinking and it needs a statement by the Deputy Mayor to refute this thinking. It is time to divulge who the interested parties are in purchasing the flats. Another bad smell?

7. Instead of getting rid of the pensioner flats, I would suggest that HDC sell the white elephant known as Regents Court, also the Winz building and any other unnecessary

buildings they have.

8 That HDC stop spending on beautification projects and pay off debt. Selling white elephant buildings and complexes would help to alleviate some of the pain of rates increases. Having an A1 credit rating is not great by any measure of the imagination.

9. That when the quarterly rates demands are sent out, that a form be sent with them where necessary to get a simple yes/no answer for any major decisions on projects and the like, so that the people are having some input instead of it being left to the right wingers and wannabes in the council at the expense of the great unwashed

Eric Larson

SUBMISSION FORM

ANNUAL PLAN 2017/18 CONSULTATION

Submissions can be:

 **Delivered to:**
Horowhenua District Council,
126 Oxford Street, Levin

 **Emailed to:**
annualplan@horowhenua.govt.nz

Submissions must be provided to Council by no later than **5:00pm on Monday, 10 April 2017**

 **Posted to:**
Horowhenua District Council,
Private Bag 4002, Levin 5540

 **Completed online at:**
www.horowhenua.govt.nz/consultationdoc

 **Faxed to:**
(06) 366 0983

Contact Details (You must provide your contact details for your submission to be considered)

Title (e.g. Mr/Mrs/Miss/Dr):

Full Name: Vivienne Gwenth Bodd

Name of Organisation:

Address for service:

Levin

Post code: 5571

Telephone: 06-368 8180

Mobile: 027-431-5181

Email:



Hearing of Submissions (please indicate your preference)

Do you wish to present your submission to Council at a Hearing? Yes No

Sign language interpretation required? Yes No

If YES then would you prefer to speak on – **Wednesday 3 May** OR **Thursday 4 May**

Would you prefer to present your submission in the – **Daytime** OR **Evening**

Note: It is not guaranteed that every submitter will get their preferred time to present.



TOPIC 1: SHARED PATHWAYS

Tick below to identify your preferred option

Option 1: Status Quo – work within existing budget	
Option 2: Allocate \$100,000 to shared pathways for 2017/18	✓
Option 3: Allocate \$250,000 to shared pathways for 2017/18	

Reasons why this is your preferred option (continue on last page if necessary)

MORE OPTIONS ?

TOPIC 2: HERITAGE INCENTIVE FUNDING

Tick below to identify your preferred option

Option 1: Status Quo – Provide no funding	✓
Option 2: Allocate \$50,000 per annum	
Option 3: Allocate \$100,000 per annum	

Reasons why this is your preferred option (continue on last page if necessary)

As there are 50 Heritage Site in your Reference Copy (I didn't include the Hyderabad)
 The Lewin Railway Station is Rented out - The Rent needs to be put back into maintenance.
 There are some clear structures that need some funding it's true H55 H3 H22. H38
 Why aren't there ~~more~~ Options like 4 or 5?

TOPIC 3: SIGNIFICANCE AND ENGAGEMENT POLICY

Tick below to identify your preferred option

Option 1: Status Quo



Option 2: Adopt the draft Significance and Engagement Policy

Option 3: Update the draft Significance and Engagement Policy following feedback from the community and adopt it

Reasons why this is your preferred option (continue on last page if necessary)

Newer rescind the Community Engagement Strategy.
More Options?

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

Please identify any additional comments you have on what is proposed as part of Council's Draft Annual Plan 2017/18

Open, transparent democratic accountability.
You write about it, so why are Public being banned from Forum meetings & Media -"

Kairoua Earthquake - Nov 2016 -

Foxton's Main Street Debacle and Foxton Community Board

Pensioner Dwellings - Democracy for Elderly -

Horowhenua District - All areas need funding
and a Rite to clean Water (H₂O) in this Day & Age.
Civil Defence Areas for All.

WHAT OTHER PROJECTS OR IDEAS SHOULD COUNCIL BE CONSIDERING FOR 2018/19 AND BEYOND

(refer to page 11 to see the list Council is currently focusing on. Do you agree with what we have identified? What else could we look into?)

Why are the Public Only given the proposals
Council wants us Only to answer.

We need Full financial Statements - So
as to see where Council are making their
mistakes. . . . So we can help them.

As a landlord myself - Money received by
Rentals some of this gets ploughed back into
them. With new ownership will come increased
rental.

Councillors need to Start listening to your
Rate payers. . . I want be voting back in
the same ones

Privacy Act 1993

Please note that submissions are public information. Information on this form including your name and submission will be made available to the media and public as part of the decision making process. Your submission will only be used for the purpose of the annual plan process. The information will be held by the Horowhenua District Council, 126 Oxford Street, Levin. You have the right to access the information and request its correction.

SUBMISSION ON ANNUAL PLAN 2017/18



CONTACT DETAILS

Mr Wilf Vickers

ADDRESS:

LEVIN

PHONE – 027 44 11 033

I wish to present my submission to Council at a Hearing and speak in conjunction with Geoff Kane

My concerns are that the rate increase to the farming community is in excess of the proposed range, with my increase being in excess of 9%.

I believe that the HDC should be utilising Targeted rates as a means to provide fairness to the rates burden. The General Rate is used too often and the contribution to the General rate by the farmers is weighted against the farmers.

Yours faithfully

Wilf Vickers





SUBMISSION FORM

ANNUAL PLAN 2017/18 CONSULTATION



Submissions can be:

-  **Delivered to:**
Horowhenua District Council,
126 Oxford Street, Levin
-  **Posted to:**
Horowhenua District Council,
Private Bag 4002, Levin 5540
-  **Emailed to:**
annualplan@horowhenua.govt.nz
-  **Completed online at:**
www.horowhenua.govt.nz/consultationdoc
-  **Faxed to:**
(06) 366 0983

Submissions must be provided to Council by no later than **5:00pm on Monday, 10 April 2017**

Contact Details (You must provide your contact details for your submission to be considered)

Title (e.g. Mr/Mrs/Miss/Dr):

Full Name: Diana Josephine Timms

Name of Organisation: G+M+D+J Timms + Timms Farm Ltd.

Address for service:

Palmerston North

Post code: 4474

Telephone: 06 36 27 829

Mobile: 027 342 5477

Email: ashbystud@xtra.co.nz

Hearing of Submissions (please indicate your preference)

Do you wish to present your submission to Council at a Hearing? Yes No

Sign language interpretation required? Yes No

If YES then would you prefer to speak on – **Wednesday 3 May** OR **Thursday 4 May**

Would you prefer to present your submission in the – **Daytime** OR **Evening**

Note: It is not guaranteed that every submitter will get their preferred time to present.

TOPIC 1: SHARED PATHWAYS

Tick below to identify your preferred option

Option 1: Status Quo – work within existing budget	
Option 2: Allocate \$100,000 to shared pathways for 2017/18	✓
Option 3: Allocate \$250,000 to shared pathways for 2017/18	

Reasons why this is your preferred option (continue on last page if necessary)

Good to see some allocated money towards these projects and should help with leverage for funding from other funding organisations. The committee should look at existing roadsides suitable for shared pathways and be modelled on the Queen Street East site.

TOPIC 2: HERITAGE INCENTIVE FUNDING

Tick below to identify your preferred option

Option 1: Status Quo – Provide no funding	
Option 2: Allocate \$50,000 per annum	✓
Option 3: Allocate \$100,000 per annum	

Reasons why this is your preferred option (continue on last page if necessary)

Another option to look at by council is absorbing the cost of Resource Consents for Heritage buildings. Owners of non Heritage buildings do not have to pay Resource Consents. Heritage owners are still to pay for the other usual rates, building consents etc.

WHAT OTHER PROJECTS OR IDEAS SHOULD COUNCIL BE CONSIDERING FOR 2018/19 AND BEYOND

(refer to page 11 to see the list Council is currently focusing on. Do you agree with what we have identified? What else could we look into?)

Agree with the sale of pensioner housing and hope the Funds will reduce the debt

Capital Valuation should be phased in over a period of time.

There is a concern about the cost under Economic development: Rural rate payers pay a large proportion of our general rate towards this.

The new subdivisions for housing to accommodate the expected influx of people to the district should not be on Class 1 or 2 land and also lifestyle blocks. Should be discouraged as urban people do not in many cases understand the implications these blocks cause. eg animal welfare.

Two years ago water tanks was mentioned. I felt very strongly that every new dwelling should have one. It would help with infrastructure - stormwater and water for toilets, gardens, swimming pools etc.

Privacy Act 1993

Please note that submissions are public information. Information on this form including your name and submission will be made available to the media and public as part of the decision making process. Your submission will only be used for the purpose of the annual plan process. The information will be held by the Horowhenua District Council, 126 Oxford Street, Levin. You have the right to access the information and request its correction.



SUBMISSION FORM

ANNUAL PLAN 2017/18 CONSULTATION



Submissions can be:

-  **Delivered to:**
Horowhenua District Council,
126 Oxford Street, Levin
-  **Posted to:**
Horowhenua District Council,
Private Bag 4002, Levin 5540
-  **Emailed to:**
annualplan@horowhenua.govt.nz
-  **Completed online at:**
www.horowhenua.govt.nz/consultationdoc
-  **Faxed to:**
(06) 366 0983

Submissions must be provided to Council by no later than **5:00pm on Monday, 10 April 2017**

Contact Details (You must provide your contact details for your submission to be considered)

Title (e.g. Mr/Mrs/Miss/Dr): MS MARGARET WILLIAMS

Full Name: MARGARET WILLIAMS

Name of Organisation: JUST AS A RESIDENT

Address for service: LEVIN

Post code: 5510

Telephone: 06 368 07 87

Mobile:

Email:

Hearing of Submissions (please indicate your preference)

Do you wish to present your submission to Council at a Hearing? Yes No

Sign language interpretation required? Yes No

If YES then would you prefer to speak on – **Wednesday 3 May** OR **Thursday 4 May**

Would you prefer to present your submission in the – **Daytime** OR **Evening**

Note: It is not guaranteed that every submitter will get their preferred time to present.

TOPIC 3: SIGNIFICANCE AND ENGAGEMENT POLICY

Tick below to identify your preferred option

Option 1: Status Quo

Option 2: Adopt the draft Significance and Engagement Policy

Option 3: Update the draft Significance and Engagement Policy following feedback from the community and adopt it

Reasons why this is your preferred option (continue on last page if necessary)

See over leaf

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

Please identify any additional comments you have on what is proposed as part of Council's Draft Annual Plan 2017/18

Page 11 Amalgamation of NZ Fire Service & Nat. Rural Fire Auth. I can show written information as to how at least one County (Region) in UK is developing the Blue Light Collaboration Programme - Ambo, Fire & Rescue, Police & Council all working together, the main goal being shared premises = lower costs! Happy to share document should anyone think it could/would/might be a good idea.

Where to for 2018 & beyond
Thought redevelopment of Houth Town

WHAT OTHER PROJECTS OR IDEAS SHOULD COUNCIL BE CONSIDERING FOR 2018/19 AND BEYOND

(refer to page 11 to see the list Council is currently focusing on. Do you agree with what we have identified? What else could we look into?)

Centre was pretty high "on the agenda" not many months ago? Please don't wait long to "explore opportunities" for public transport - the public have waited far too long already.

P.16 Significance & Engagement Policy
Now this is really written in "speak" - I think the word "simplify" is relevant in Overview, Option & outcome ----
For instance, what on earth does "inclusion of community engagement principles" mean? Same question for "centralisation of engagement record keeping"? Does this mean "the left hand knowing what the right hand is doing"?
I can't "vote" for an Option as I just don't understand about rescinding the Comm. Eng. Strategy - at least I know what 'rescind' means ----

Privacy Act 1993

Please note that submissions are public information. Information on this form including your name and submission will be made available to the media and public as part of the decision making process. Your submission will only be used for the purpose of the annual plan process. The information will be held by the Horowhenua District Council, 126 Oxford Street, Levin. You have the right to access the information and request its correction.

WHAT OTHER PROJECTS OR IDEAS SHOULD COUNCIL BE CONSIDERING FOR 2018/19 AND BEYOND

(refer to page 11 to see the list Council is currently focusing on. Do you agree with what we have identified?
What else could we look into?)

I would like to see the following in the Annual + Long Term Plan please

- The building of the iconic water wheel in Shannon.
- a water fountain at the Shannon skateboard park.
- a toilet system at the Shannon skateboard park and a sun shade.
- a water fountain, toilet and barbeque at Shannon's Hyde Park.
- a toilet block on Te Māui Park, Shannon opposite the shops.
- street lights on the corner of Grand Street and Stout Street, Shannon.
- The building of a community hub using Shannon Memorial Hall.
- All the above services are needed to keep up with the increasing number of visitors we already have happening and will only increase with the roads bringing visitors in the future.
- In Te Māui Park the already planned historic ~~tax~~ industry boards need completing and erecting.
- Go ahead with 'shared pathways' e.g. Tātarua Traverse and path from Mangawere to Shannon

Privacy Act 1993

Please note that submissions are public information. Information on this form including your name and submission will be made available to the media and public as part of the decision making process. Your submission will only be used for the purpose of the annual plan process. The information will be held by the Horowhenua District Council, 126 Oxford Street, Levin. You have the right to access the information and request its correction.

Submission Form Annual Plan 2017/18 Consultation

Submission No. 41



Submission date: 06/04/2017 15:17 PM

Receipt number: 33

Question	Response
Notes for Submitters	
Contact Details	
Title:	Dr
Full Name:	Robert Halwyn Hoskins
Name of Organisation (if applicable):	
Postal Address for Service:	
Postcode:	4815
Telephone:	063637081
Mobile:	
Email:	bobandireneho@gmail.com
Preferred method of communication:	Post
Hearing of Submissions	
Do you wish to present your comments to Council in person at a hearing?:	No
Sign language interpretation required?	
Would you prefer to speak on:	
Would you prefer to present your submission in the:	
Topic 1: Shared Pathways	
Options for Shared Pathways:	Option 2: Allocate \$100,000 to shared pathways for 2017/18
Reasons why this is your preferred option for Shared Pathways:	
Topic 2: Heritage Incentive Funding	
Options for Heritage Incentive Funding:	Option 1: Status Quo - Provide no funding
Reasons why this is your preferred option for Heritage Incentive Funding:	Providing free Resource Consents and Building Consents would help owners to maintain and strengthen buildings at no real cost to the council
Topic 3: Significance and Engagement Policy	
Options for the Significance and Engagement Policy:	
Reasons why this is your preferred option for the Significance and Engagement Policy:	
Additional Comments	
Comments:	
Submission Attachments	

Question	Response
What other projects or ideas should Council be considering for 2018/19 and beyond?	
Do you agree with what we have identified? What else could we look into?	Re-liming Piriharakeke Walkway, which is in dire need of more lime now.
Privacy Act 1993	
Submission Processing	\$0.00
Office Use Only	
Date Received:	
RM8 Number:	
Submission No:	

Submission Form Annual Plan 2017/18 Consultation



Submission date: 07/04/2017 15:30 PM

Receipt number: 37

Question	Response
Notes for Submitters	
Contact Details	
Title:	Not applicable
Full Name:	Katherine Irvine
Name of Organisation (if applicable):	Edible Backyard
Postal Address for Service:	
Postcode:	5570
Telephone:	0272449761
Mobile:	027244976
Email:	kath@ediblebackyard.co.nz
Preferred method of communication:	Email
Hearing of Submissions	
Do you wish to present your comments to Council in person at a hearing?:	No
Sign language interpretation required?	
Would you prefer to speak on:	
Would you prefer to present your submission in the:	
Topic 1: Shared Pathways	
Options for Shared Pathways:	Option 3: Allocate \$250,000 to shared pathways for 2017/18
Reasons why this is your preferred option for Shared Pathways:	Connecting parts of the town would make it easy for people to get out and about on their feet or wheels - makes for a healthier community. Perhaps equate to less cars? Improving access in parks/ reserves and connecting them to town and each other is a positive activity for visitors and residents alike
Topic 2: Heritage Incentive Funding	

Question	Response
Options for Heritage Incentive Funding:	Option 3: Allocate \$100,000 per annum
Reasons why this is your preferred option for Heritage Incentive Funding:	Keeping up the old buildings is really important for the character of the town. Feel good factor like greytown as opposed to soul less paraparamu. The better a town looks the more respect the community has for it/ themselves. Old buildings are a visible connection to our past , and looked after well they are a source of pride.
Topic 3: Significance and Engagement Policy	
Options for the Significance and Engagement Policy:	Option 3: Update the draft Significance and Engagement Policy following feedback from the community and adopt it
Reasons why this is your preferred option for the Significance and Engagement Policy:	We're greater clarity/ public contribution achieved that would be a fine thing indeed. The public need to be inspired to engage though (enthusiasm, energy, plain language and relatedness) What a difference!
Additional Comments	
Comments:	
Submission Attachments	
What other projects or ideas should Council be considering for 2018/19 and beyond?	
Do you agree with what we have identified? What else could we look into?	<p>To support food gardens in our local schools.</p> <p>I support at least 2 Horowhenua school gardens a year (that's all the time I can provide free at the moment). Right now I'm involved in a major make over of the Shannon school garden which has me there every Tuesday afternoon. I also support the Poroutawhao garden as and when they need me.</p> <p>It's really hard for teachers to find the time, especially when they don't have the expertise, but all will vouch for the huge benefits including how work in the garden crosses the curriculum (science and math especially), teaches respect for the natural environment and gets kids outside working and excited about vegies! Also giving non academic kids another area in which to excel.</p> <p>If a school doesn't have the budget to join Garden to Table then they need help!</p> <p>There is no funding available through the government - perhaps local councils could step in and fill the breach.</p> <p>Each school has different needs. There are teachers who are keen, knowledgable food gardeners, but these are rare. On the whole needing me, or someone like me, to plan the garden and the lesson, take care of specialist maintenance like pruning fruit trees and be available for email support.</p> <p>What the kids learn in the school garden they take home, contributing in a positive way to our community, and over time I believe, making a big difference to its overall health.</p>
Privacy Act 1993	
Submission Processing	\$0.00
Office Use Only	
Date Received:	
RM8 Number:	
Submission No:	

Submission Form Annual Plan 2017/18 Consultation



Submission date: 10/04/2017 6:08 AM

Receipt number: 43

Question	Response
Notes for Submitters	
Contact Details	
Title:	Mr
Full Name:	Laurie Smaling
Name of Organisation (if applicable):	
Postal Address for Service:	
Postcode:	5510
Telephone:	0274 514 332
Mobile:	0274 514 332
Email:	lauriesmaling@xtra.co.nz
Preferred method of communication:	Email
Hearing of Submissions	
Do you wish to present your comments to Council in person at a hearing?:	No
Sign language interpretation required?	
Would you prefer to speak on:	
Would you prefer to present your submission in the:	
Topic 1: Shared Pathways	
Options for Shared Pathways:	Option 3: Allocate \$250,000 to shared pathways for 2017/18
Reasons why this is your preferred option	An expensive exercise and if this is to be undertaken in a professional and expeditious manner - then only adequate funding will ensure success

Question	Response
for Shared Pathways:	
Topic 2: Heritage Incentive Funding	
Options for Heritage Incentive Funding:	Option 3: Allocate \$100,000 per annum
Reasons why this is your preferred option for Heritage Incentive Funding:	<p>As a Heritage 2 property owner I applaud Council's recognition of the importance of maintaining such sites. We have all too few such examples of our rich history as pioneers and 'settlers' and the National Body (unlike Australian counterpart)</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. has no 'teeth' 2. is grossly underfunded <p>We have seen many disgraceful examples over the years of property developers demolishing heritage buildings in the dark of night with impunity Only through adequate funding and community 'ownership' can we ensure the preservation of such structures for future generations to appreciate and enjoy \$100K (incidentally) will not go very far at all toward this initiative</p>
Topic 3: Significance and Engagement Policy	
Options for the Significance and Engagement Policy:	Option 2: Adopt the draft Significance and Engagement Policy
Reasons why this is your preferred option for the Significance and Engagement Policy:	This is a moving feast and requires constant updating to maintain pace with community sentiments
Additional Comments	
Comments:	
Submission Attachments	
What other projects or ideas should Council be considering for 2018/19 and beyond?	
Do you agree with what we have identified? What else could we look into?	<p>The beautification of Lake Horowhenua must be treated with utmost importance as there is little reported evidence of progress to date. This local 'feature' should be the jewel in the crown for Levin and will enjoy patronage from locals and visitors alike. We could do well taking a look at how this has been managed in China where many of the lakes adjacent to townships are simply stunning and enjoyed by many hundreds of people on a daily basis. Cleaning of our nation's waterways is a political 'hot potato' just now and the issue is not going to dissipate by simply paying lip service to such a major issue Of equal importance is access to this site - that it be treated as a community asset to be enjoyed by the ENTIRE community and not a limited few!</p>
Privacy Act 1993	
Submission Processing	\$0.00
Office Use Only	
Date Received:	
RM8 Number:	

Submission Form Annual Plan 2017/18 Consultation



Submission date: 10/04/2017 12:40 PM

Receipt number: 51

Question	Response
Notes for Submitters	
Contact Details	
Title:	Mr
Full Name:	Garry Good
Name of Organisation (if applicable):	
Postal Address for Service:	
Postcode:	5510
Telephone:	063683607
Mobile:	
Email:	tzgood@xtra.co.nz
Preferred method of communication:	Email
Hearing of Submissions	
Do you wish to present your comments to Council in person at a hearing?:	Yes
Sign language interpretation required?	No
Would you prefer to speak on:	Wednesday, 3 May 2017
Would you prefer to present your submission in the:	Evening
Topic 1: Shared Pathways	
Options for Shared Pathways:	Option 3: Allocate \$250,000 to shared pathways for 2017/18
Reasons why this is your preferred option for Shared Pathways:	It is apparent that Shared Pathways enhance communities and provide for the improvement in health and wellbeing of residents. Furthermore these pathways encourage visitors to our region and in turn bring economic benefits.
Topic 2: Heritage Incentive Funding	
Options for Heritage Incentive Funding:	Option 3: Allocate \$100,000 per annum
Reasons why this is your preferred option for Heritage Incentive Funding:	Communities need to celebrate and protect heritage sites if they are to truly recognise their history. Once sites are lost they can never be regained. This is a small cost relative to other expenditures that have questionable value to the community.
Topic 3: Significance and Engagement Policy	

Question	Response
Options for the Significance and Engagement Policy:	Option 2: Adopt the draft Significance and Engagement Policy
Reasons why this is your preferred option for the Significance and Engagement Policy:	This updated policy recognises the value being placed on community engagement.
Additional Comments	
Comments:	I fully support the efforts of most Councillors to ensure continuity of progress in major projects planned for in the current LTP. I also endorse the efforts of most Councillors and the staff to further the vision and goals of this community. In spite of a degree of negativity and continual challenges you are doing a great job.
Submission Attachments	
What other projects or ideas should Council be considering for 2018/19 and beyond?	
Do you agree with what we have identified? What else could we look into?	
Privacy Act 1993	
Submission Processing	\$0.00
Office Use Only	
Date Received:	
RM8 Number:	
Submission No:	

Submission Form Annual Plan 2017/18 Consultation



Submission date: 10/04/2017 9:31 AM

Receipt number: 49

Question	Response
Notes for Submitters	
Contact Details	
Title:	Mr
Full Name:	Bryan Gerard Ten Have
Name of Organisation (if applicable):	
Postal Address for Service:	
Postcode:	5510
Telephone:	0274 440752
Mobile:	
Email:	tenhave_bryan@yahoo.co.nz
Preferred method of communication:	Post
Hearing of Submissions	
Do you wish to present your comments to Council in person at a hearing?:	Yes
Sign language interpretation required?	No
Would you prefer to speak on:	Thursday, 4 May 2017
Would you prefer to present your submission in the:	Evening
Topic 1: Shared Pathways	
Options for Shared Pathways:	Option 1: Status Quo - work within existing budget
Reasons why this is your preferred option for Shared Pathways:	HDC's use of 'spin' when trying to convince the public to do what they prefer is misleading. HDC publicly stated that "Option 3 will only cost each ratepayer \$1.43" This is blatantly untrue as the \$250,000 is to be borrowed and the \$1.43 refers to an annual repayment of that loan. The said loan is for 25 Years (according to Doug Law) which means the true cost to each ratepayer is twenty five times higher than that stated!
Topic 2: Heritage Incentive Funding	
Options for Heritage Incentive Funding:	
Reasons why this is your preferred option for Heritage Incentive Funding:	I want more information about our earthquake prone buildings
Topic 3: Significance and Engagement Policy	
Options for the Significance and Engagement Policy:	

Question	Response
Reasons why this is your preferred option for the Significance and Engagement Policy:	
Additional Comments	
Comments:	I wish to be heard on my submission (Thursday evening if possible)
Submission Attachments	
What other projects or ideas should Council be considering for 2018/19 and beyond?	
Do you agree with what we have identified? What else could we look into?	<p>Actually doing something about restoring lake Horowhenua. The misappropriation of money from the Foxton Beach Freehold Fund. The Civic Building Council Dept. Pensioner Housing</p>
Privacy Act 1993	
Submission Processing	\$0.00
Office Use Only	
Date Received:	
RM8 Number:	
Submission No:	

Submission Form Annual Plan 2017/18 Consultation



Submission date: 10/04/2017 7:59 AM

Receipt number: 45

Question	Response
Notes for Submitters	
Contact Details	
Title:	Mr
Full Name:	John Anthony Murdoch
Name of Organisation (if applicable):	
Postal Address for Service:	
Postcode:	4815
Telephone:	063637487
Mobile:	021710759
Email:	j-murdoch@xtra.co.nz
Preferred method of communication:	Email
Hearing of Submissions	
Do you wish to present your comments to Council in person at a hearing?:	Yes
Sign language interpretation required?	No
Would you prefer to speak on:	Thursday, 4 May 2017
Would you prefer to present your submission in the:	Evening
Topic 1: Shared Pathways	
Options for Shared Pathways:	Option 3: Allocate \$250,000 to shared pathways for 2017/18

Question	Response
Reasons why this is your preferred option for Shared Pathways:	We have spent far too little on walkways considering Tourism is Foxtons future
Topic 2: Heritage Incentive Funding	
Options for Heritage Incentive Funding:	Option 3: Allocate \$100,000 per annum
Reasons why this is your preferred option for Heritage Incentive Funding:	Again Tourism is Foxton future this is an investment not a cost
Topic 3: Significance and Engagement Policy	
Options for the Significance and Engagement Policy:	Option 3: Update the draft Significance and Engagement Policy following feedback from the community and adopt it
Reasons why this is your preferred option for the Significance and Engagement Policy:	Far too many issue around this one lets just finish with a fully transparent consultation and get on with the job
Additional Comments	
Comments:	
Submission Attachments	
What other projects or ideas should Council be considering for 2018/19 and beyond?	
Do you agree with what we have identified? What else could we look into?	<p>I have a serious concern that we urgently need to form a consultative working party including Horizons Regional council to deal with and include some sensible decisions into the next Longterm plan at annual some of the potential flooding and liquefaction issues in the Foxton beach area. The Water tables clearly rising which poses a serious liquefaction potential . Already some sites in Hartley street and Dewe Terrace are facing annual flooding of up to 400 mm of flood water most years . The summer water table is now only .250 below the ground level. It should be noted that in the Christchurh earthquake most of New Brighton the water table was about 1.000 below ground level.</p> <p>Niwa are projection 8% more water down the Manawatu River over the next 20 years</p> <p>The Shand report to the Horizons commissioned report on coastal hazards done in 2008 has not been adopted.</p> <p>I believe no building activity should take place below a 1-100 year flood level and a minimum of 1-200 year flood level should be introduced int the district plan .</p> <p>The present surge wall barely give a 1.100 year protection level and if the Shand report is accurate any erosion of the Foxton Bar could lead to serious coastal erosion. Evidence is</p>

Question	Response
	<p>already becoming clear with the sand hill erosion adjacent to the bar that,s already taking place. Whether its Global warming or cyclic climatic conditions we are facing a rising sea level of .003mm per year That accelerating and may accelerate te outcome of the Shand report. Collectively its very evident that we need to update out subdivision and Town planning rules because what we have is very outdated considering the combined climatic and potential for natural disasters to be mitigated.</p> <p>Currently building</p>
Privacy Act 1993	
Submission Processing	\$0.00
Office Use Only	
Date Received:	
RM8 Number:	
Submission No:	

HDC Annual Plan submission J A (Tony) Murdoch April 2016

At Foxton Beach. I have a serious concern that we urgently need to form a consultative working group consisting of party of people with local experience including Horizons Regional Council to deal with and include some sensible decisions to be part of the next HDC long term plan at annual plan level.

The potential for flooding, tidal surge and liquefaction and erosion issues in the Foxton Beach area where ground water tables are clearly rising which poses a serious liquefaction potential. Is very real

Already some sites in Hartley street and Dewe terrace centre of Shortt street Etc. face annual flooding of up to .400mm on some sites most years.

The summer water table is now up to around .250mm below ground level. It should be noted that most of New Brighton in Christchurch was about one meter above the water table where serious Liquefaction took place and is now red zoned.

“Niwa” are predicting another 8% more water down the Manawatu river in the next 20 years . This is being compounded by subdivision runoff with large developments in both Palmerston North and Fielding Catchments.

The Shand report commissioned by Horizons in 2008 on coastal hazards has not been adopted in full Where it recommends new subdivisions and beach developments are well protected from flooding.

Horizons recommend a minimum of 1:200-year level be introduced for all new sub-divisions In the Foxton Beach zone.

The present Surge wall gives barely a 1:100-year flood protection. (Note this is not a stop bank) If the Shand report is accurate any erosion of the Foxton bar would allow surging tides and sea erosion of the existing surge wall. Evidence is already becoming clear with the sand hill erosion adjacent to the bar that’s already taking place. For the record Palmerston, North City has a 1:500 year level of flood protection. Foxton Beach which is more at risk has barely a 1:100-year level.

Also, should siltation within the estuary build it could eventually cause over spilling of the surge wall Into the residential areas.

Whether its Global warming or cyclic climatic conditions we are facing rising sea levels of now up to .003mm per year from less than .001mm per year 10 years ago. That acceleration may accelerate the sand dune erosion outcome mentioned in the Shand report.

Collectively we need to update the drainage at the beach community along with the building levels and town planning rules.

A great example is on site soak pits (a building consent requirement) Soak pits simply don’t work if you have .400mm of flooding and the soak pits underwater. Sadly, council officers are locked to the Rule book. These rules are impracticable and outdated.

. But maybe a pump high volume system at street level to remove water from the beach settlement and pup it over the surge wall ?.This could prove worthwhile. It may be a good use for the Foxton Beach freeholding fund.

Town planning flood zones have been taken from the indicative flood zones from One plan and adopted as part of the district plan in many cases this flood overlay is totally inaccurate and includes the highest sandhill in Foxton Beach. Horizons hydrologists have confirmed much of these areas are

safe and are above a 1:200 year level. But sadly, Council officers are locked into rules that could lead to disastrous results if site were lowered. Which would compound both liquefaction risk as well as flooding.

Submission Form Annual Plan 2017/18 Consultation

Submission No. 47



Submission date: 10/04/2017 7:25 AM

Receipt number: 44

Question	Response
Notes for Submitters	
Contact Details	
Title:	Mr
Full Name:	Michael William TATE
Name of Organisation (if applicable):	
Postal Address for Service:	
Postcode:	5510
Telephone:	021 922437
Mobile:	
Email:	dennehytate@gmail.com
Preferred method of communication:	Email
Hearing of Submissions	
Do you wish to present your comments to Council in person at a hearing?:	Yes
Sign language interpretation required?	No
Would you prefer to speak on:	Thursday, 4 May 2017
Would you prefer to present your submission in the:	Evening
Topic 1: Shared Pathways	
Options for Shared Pathways:	Option 3: Allocate \$250,000 to shared pathways for 2017/18
Reasons why this is your preferred option for Shared Pathways:	<p>The Horowhenua is severely lacking in shared pathways with little being spent in this area in recent years so a period of catch up is required.</p> <p>The Horowhenua Shared Pathway Network has done excellent work in developing the Horowhenua Shared Pathway Strategy 2016, this strategy needs to be implemented and option 3 is the best way for this to happen.</p> <p>The two identified key backbone trail opportunities of Kimberley Reserve and Pariharakeke Track identified in the 2016 Horowhenua Shared Pathway Strategy would be logical areas to spend any allocated money.</p> <p>The Shared Pathway Network has a raft of other exciting identified shared pathway options that could also be considered for development.</p>
Topic 2: Heritage Incentive Funding	
Options for Heritage Incentive Funding:	

Question	Response
Reasons why this is your preferred option for Heritage Incentive Funding:	
Topic 3: Significance and Engagement Policy	
Options for the Significance and Engagement Policy:	
Reasons why this is your preferred option for the Significance and Engagement Policy:	
Additional Comments	
Comments:	It is heartening that Council is seen to be supporting the shared pathways concept and now that a Council Officer (Sean Hester) has a focus on Shared Pathways some real progress should happen.
Submission Attachments	
What other projects or ideas should Council be considering for 2018/19 and beyond?	
Do you agree with what we have identified? What else could we look into?	The development of the Waitarere Beach mixed use facility (Surf lifesaving building)
Privacy Act 1993	
Submission Processing	\$0.00
Office Use Only	
Date Received:	
RM8 Number:	
Submission No:	

Submission Form Annual Plan 2017/18 Consultation



Submission date: 10/04/2017 7:23 AM

Receipt number: 46

Question	Response
Notes for Submitters	
Contact Details	
Title:	Mr
Full Name:	Peter Everton
Name of Organisation (if applicable):	Lakeview Farm Ltd
Postal Address for Service:	
Postcode:	5540
Telephone:	06 3685105
Mobile:	0274 454623
Email:	lakeviewlevin@gmail.com
Preferred method of communication:	Email
Hearing of Submissions	
Do you wish to present your comments to Council in person at a hearing?:	Yes
Sign language interpretation required?	No
Would you prefer to speak on:	Wednesday, 3 May 2017
Would you prefer to present your submission in the:	Evening
Topic 1: Shared Pathways	
Options for Shared Pathways:	

Question	Response
Reasons why this is your preferred option for Shared Pathways:	
Topic 2: Heritage Incentive Funding	
Options for Heritage Incentive Funding:	
Reasons why this is your preferred option for Heritage Incentive Funding:	
Topic 3: Significance and Engagement Policy	
Options for the Significance and Engagement Policy:	
Reasons why this is your preferred option for the Significance and Engagement Policy:	
Additional Comments	
Comments:	<p>CAPITAL VALUE</p> <p>The Horowhenua District Council should implement full capital value rating system for the 2017/18 rating year.</p> <p>From the information on Council's website, our rates are increasing for the 2017/18 rating year from \$74,726.12 to \$81,229.33. An increase of \$6,573.21. This large increase in rates is unsustainable to our farming business. The present rating system is totally unfair to all land based farming operations. In eight years our rates have doubled through the general rating system. We pay more than our fair share towards parks and reserves, sports grounds, cemeteries, tourism and economic development and other Council services. There should be more target rates, especially parks and reserves and sports grounds. Until the Council get their spending under control I am against further projects like shared pathways and heritage incentive funding.</p> <p>The Council does not follow its Representation and Governance Values that were in their Draft Long Plan for 2012-2022 i.e:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> To promote the holistic well being of the total community. To fund activities as equitably as possible across generations. To recognise the community's ability to pay for services and capital development.

Question	Response
	<p>To recognise the principles of sustainability. To recognise the role of the community in key planning and decision-making processes.</p> <p>Council is only increasing the resentment felt by the farming community paying an unfair share of the General Rate for the Horowhenua District.</p> <p>I support the Federated Farmers submissions and I support a review of the districts Solid Waste management. The dumping of Kapiti Coast rubbish in Levin's Landfill should be stopped immediately.</p>
Submission Attachments	
What other projects or ideas should Council be considering for 2018/19 and beyond?	
Do you agree with what we have identified? What else could we look into?	<p>A full Capital Value rating system. The dredging of Lake Horowhenua in consultation with the local Iwi.</p>
Privacy Act 1993	
Submission Processing	\$0.00
Office Use Only	
Date Received:	
RM8 Number:	
Submission No:	

Submission Form Annual Plan 2017/18 Consultation

Submission No. 49



Submission date: 10/04/2017 4:37 AM

Receipt number: 41

Question	Response
Notes for Submitters	
Contact Details	
Title:	Mrs
Full Name:	Ann Thomas
Name of Organisation (if applicable):	Horowhenua Farmers Ratepayer Group
Postal Address for Service:	
Postcode:	5571
Telephone:	06 36 88 88 6 (WK)
Mobile:	
Email:	ann.thomas@xtra.co.nz
Preferred method of communication:	Email
Hearing of Submissions	
Do you wish to present your comments to Council in person at a hearing?:	Yes
Sign language interpretation required?	No
Would you prefer to speak on:	Wednesday, 3 May 2017
Would you prefer to present your submission in the:	Evening
Topic 1: Shared Pathways	
Options for Shared Pathways:	Option 2: Allocate \$100,000 to shared pathways for 2017/18
Reasons why this is your preferred option for Shared Pathways:	These funds are to be used as seed money and to gain leverage from other funding organisations. The committee should look at existing road sides and upgrade pathways in the same model as Queen Street East. The future funding should be via a targeted rate as opposed to being added onto the general rate, thus making the rating fairer on all rate payers
Topic 2: Heritage Incentive Funding	

Question	Response
Options for Heritage Incentive Funding:	
Reasons why this is your preferred option for Heritage Incentive Funding:	We propose that instead of allocating money, that the council should absorb the cost of the resource consents for work to be done on heritage buildings, as the resource consent is an added cost that an owner of a non-heritage building does not have to pay. The council should NOT waive costs such as rates and building consents
Topic 3: Significance and Engagement Policy	
Options for the Significance and Engagement Policy:	Option 3: Update the draft Significance and Engagement Policy following feedback from the community and adopt it
Reasons why this is your preferred option for the Significance and Engagement Policy:	By opening the discussion to community feedback you will hopefully get more ideas on the table and find an even better solution
Additional Comments	
Comments:	We support the sale of the pensioner flats and wish to see the funds raised to reduce council debt
Submission Attachments	
What other projects or ideas should Council be considering for 2018/19 and beyond?	
Do you agree with what we have identified? What else could we look into?	
Privacy Act 1993	
Submission Processing	\$0.00
Office Use Only	
Date Received:	
RM8 Number:	
Submission No:	

Submission Form Annual Plan 2017/18 Consultation

Submission No. 50



Submission date: 09/04/2017 11:37 AM

Receipt number: 40

Question	Response
Notes for Submitters	
Contact Details	
Title:	Mr
Full Name:	Carl Bryan Robinson
Name of Organisation (if applicable):	
Postal Address for Service:	
Postcode:	4864
Telephone:	06 3298192
Mobile:	027 7121392
Email:	robbie2248@gmail.com
Preferred method of communication:	Email
Hearing of Submissions	
Do you wish to present your comments to Council in person at a hearing?:	No
Sign language interpretation required?	
Would you prefer to speak on:	
Would you prefer to present your submission in the:	
Topic 1: Shared Pathways	
Options for Shared Pathways:	Option 1: Status Quo - work within existing budget
Reasons why this is your preferred option for Shared Pathways:	How is this going to benefit outside the Levin area? If this was to benefit all ratepayers I would back it 100%.
Topic 2: Heritage Incentive Funding	

Question	Response
Options for Heritage Incentive Funding:	Option 1: Status Quo - Provide no funding
Reasons why this is your preferred option for Heritage Incentive Funding:	Some of the Heritage Properties are privately owned. If you purchase a Heritage Property knowingly, then you should be prepared to meet the cost of the upkeep. Any person or organization purchasing any property is responsible for the upkeep of that property. I would agree to an allocation of money for the upkeep of monuments etc but not for Council or privately owned Heritage buildings.
Topic 3: Significance and Engagement Policy	
Options for the Significance and Engagement Policy:	Option 3: Update the draft Significance and Engagement Policy following feedback from the community and adopt it
Reasons why this is your preferred option for the Significance and Engagement Policy:	The community need to be involved in council decisions and discussions with the public.
Additional Comments	
Comments:	
Submission Attachments	
What other projects or ideas should Council be considering for 2018/19 and beyond?	
Do you agree with what we have identified? What else could we look into?	<p>It seems that the council has forgotten about the ratepayers in the outlying communities. We in Tokomaru pay rates too. We have a major storm-water drainage problem. Most properties in Tokomaru were under water, even before the events of 3/4/17 - 6/4/17 when we had very little rain.</p> <p>There is little or no storm-water drainage here. We are not allowed, by council, to do storm-water drainage to the road side.</p> <p>All plans for housing and drainage before the transfer from Palmerston North City Council to Horowhenua District Council (unsure of the date of this happening) have been lost so we are unable to access where soak holes are located on our properties.</p> <p>The only drainage work done in Tokomaru has been on Tawa Street in 2016. We were told that this project was to be continued to cover all of Tokomaru this has NOT happened.</p> <p>Stop building little empire's in Levin and take into account that Horowhenua District Council has ratepayers through out Horowhenua not just in Levin and Foxton.</p>
Privacy Act 1993	
Submission Processing	\$0.00
Office Use Only	
Date Received:	
RM8 Number:	
Submission No:	

Submission Form Annual Plan 2017/18 Consultation

Submission No. 51



Submission date: 09/04/2017 7:38 AM

Receipt number: 39

Question	Response
Notes for Submitters	
Contact Details	
Title:	Mr
Full Name:	Kevin Gordon burns
Name of Organisation (if applicable):	Waikawa Beach Ratepayers Association
Postal Address for Service:	
Postcode:	5573
Telephone:	0212051225
Mobile:	0212051225
Email:	itburns50manga@gmail.com
Preferred method of communication:	Email
Hearing of Submissions	
Do you wish to present your comments to Council in person at a hearing?:	Yes
Sign language interpretation required?	No
Would you prefer to speak on:	Thursday, 4 May 2017
Would you prefer to present your submission in the:	Daytime
Topic 1: Shared Pathways	
Options for Shared Pathways:	

Question	Response
Reasons why this is your preferred option for Shared Pathways:	
Topic 2: Heritage Incentive Funding	
Options for Heritage Incentive Funding:	
Reasons why this is your preferred option for Heritage Incentive Funding:	
Topic 3: Significance and Engagement Policy	
Options for the Significance and Engagement Policy:	
Reasons why this is your preferred option for the Significance and Engagement Policy:	
Additional Comments	
Comments:	<p>There is a need to develop an action plan on the coastal erosion and river controls on the Waikawa River. Previously this had occurred in the 2005/2015 long term planning. There is no evidence of anything happening in the last few years to address the very serious scenarios that have left both residents and visitors impacted by the lack of action by the Council. There have been visits by the Mayor and senior Horizons and HDC Officers but there has been a lot of hand-ringing and no clear definition of possible corrective procedures. We need a definite plan similar to 2005/15 to take control of the factors that will impact our community in the coming years.</p>
Submission Attachments	
What other projects or ideas should Council be considering for 2018/19 and beyond?	
Do you agree with what we have identified? What else could we look into?	See above

Submission Form Annual Plan 2017/18 Consultation



Submission date: 10/04/2017 12:30 PM

Receipt number: 50

Question	Response
Notes for Submitters	
Contact Details	
Title:	Mrs
Full Name:	Sharon Amanda Humphrey Williams
Name of Organisation (if applicable):	
Postal Address for Service:	
Postcode:	4821
Telephone:	063627559
Mobile:	027 3930414
Email:	sharonhumphrey@hotmail.com
Preferred method of communication:	Email
Hearing of Submissions	
Do you wish to present your comments to Council in person at a hearing?:	Yes
Sign language interpretation required?	No
Would you prefer to speak on:	Wednesday, 3 May 2017
Would you prefer to present your submission in the:	Evening
Topic 1: Shared Pathways	
Options for Shared Pathways:	Option 3: Allocate \$250,000 to shared pathways for 2017/18

Question	Response
Reasons why this is your preferred option for Shared Pathways:	I think they are a fabulous idea and would provide a free resource for users, enabling all residents to exercise and become fitter and healthier, so especially important to those living in impoverished communities. However, I do note that some residents do not have pathways to walk on outside their own dwellings (such as on the South side of Stout Street, meaning people choose to dangerously walk in the road) and ask that the council address this and provide the basics before spending on additional projects.
Topic 2: Heritage Incentive Funding	
Options for Heritage Incentive Funding:	Option 1: Status Quo - Provide no funding
Reasons why this is your preferred option for Heritage Incentive Funding:	I believe that financial resources would be better spent on other items more essential to communities, especially those of a basic nature, such as water, sewerage, pathways and similar. It is not indicated in council literature as to if the owners of these properties are seeking incentives from the council. The council note that 'many councils throughout New Zealand offer some form of incentive to heritage property owners to recognise the public good benefit and burden associated with their property being listed in a District Plan for its historical significance.' 'Many' is too vague for me, a percentage would have been more accurate and I would have felt better informed. I have looked at the relevant listed properties for Horowhenua and suspect that the owners are in financially stable enough positions to cope with this extra burden that exists. If this is not the case then I would like to think that there are trust funds that they could apply to to alleviate their financial stress. I believe there are far too many people living in poverty and requiring basic services to consider anything but maintaining the Status Quo at this stage.
Topic 3: Significance and Engagement Policy	
Options for the Significance and Engagement Policy:	Option 3: Update the draft Significance and Engagement Policy following feedback from the community and adopt it
Reasons why this is your preferred option for the Significance and Engagement Policy:	Any policy that provides greater clarity to the public and Council employees about when and how engagement will occur get my full support. I am also greatly encouraged by speaking with your employees and learning of the Iwi Advisory Group currently being established. I particularly appreciate the part of the Draft Significance and Engagement Policy 4.1 concerning a principled-based approach to community engagement and look forward to seeing how this policy is enacted in practice in the future.
Additional Comments	
Comments:	<p>Living in Shannon I am interested in the 'Shannon Community Centre' as noted on page 11 of the consultation document as one on the list 'Where to for 2018 and beyond?' I hope and respectfully request that any decisions regarding this are undertaken with full consultation with the Shannon community.</p> <p>I am very encouraged to see that an assessment of sports and recreation facilities across the district is due to occur. It seems illogical and a waste of resources maintaining assets barely used when that money could be diverted into developing other assets, for example here in Shannon Moynihan Park appears to be barely used, except maybe by dog-walkers, and the Shannon Domain well-used most weeks of the year. I hope in the assessment of the facilities the halls and hall hire charges are explored and consideration given as to how to best use these assets in the future.</p> <p>Shannon desperately desires a toilet facility at Hyde Park and the Shannon Domain.</p>

Question	Response
	<p>I would like to see further exploration of the use of Shannon library for community education activities, this is the hub of the community here and it would be awesome to expand it's usage. This would also go towards alleviating the transport poverty Shannon experiences. It would be fabulous to see exercise stations and an outdoor gym at Shannon Domain, as there are at the Levin Adventure Park. What a great resource this is. Something free for all community members to use and increase their fitness and well-being, especially relevant in impoverished communities, where access to other types of fitness facilities is limited by financial means.</p> <p>I applaud the council for supporting the Shannon School Pool and prioritising the safety of children, who would otherwise be swimming in the local river, dangerous because of the water flow variations. I respectfully request that the Council continue to do so and also other safety related services and projects in the region, such as the Surf Lifesaving Club Room relocation at Waitare Beach. I happen to know that the Shannon School Pool changing rooms are in a disgusting condition and it would be fabulous if the Council supported their upgrading and, therefore, encouraging more children to swim there.</p> <p>Finally, I would like to respectfully note that I consider the basic needs of any community in the region a priority over the 'wants and desires' of other communities, all people need their basic needs met prior to anybody receiving additional services. If we do not get as we wish in Shannon because another community needs drinkable water provision, or if another community does not get it's wishes because we need toilets in Shannon parks for basic human sanitation then so be it. There is only so much money to go around and people generally seem to be unhappy about overspending in the past, so I hope we will move forward meeting peoples' basic needs and considering the safety, health and well-being of all communities in the region.</p>
Submission Attachments	
What other projects or ideas should Council be considering for 2018/19 and beyond?	
Do you agree with what we have identified? What else could we look into?	As mentioned in previous paragraph.
Privacy Act 1993	
Submission Processing	\$0.00
Office Use Only	
Date Received:	
RM8 Number:	
Submission No:	

Foxton Community Board, Submission to HDC 2017/18 **Annual Plan.**

1] Shared Pathways FCB support Option 3 with emphasis on a walkway between Foxton Township and Foxton Beach. This proposal would be beneficial to locals and encourage tourism.

2] Heritage Incentives. As Foxton is a heritage town, The Community Board supports option 3 with emphasis on the Court House.

3] Significance and Engagement Policy. FCB enthusiastically supports option 3 with emphasis on the support of public involvement in significant decision-making.

4] Edinburgh Terrace. FCB feel that Edinburgh Terrace has matured from a country road to an important thoroughfare, bearing in mind the Forbes Road and other private subdivisions in the area. FCB recommend widening the road and also would recommend a 50% contribution from the Foxton Beach Freeholding Fund.

5] Community Electronic Sign. FCB supports the application from Manawatu College to erect a Community Electronic Sign, up to the value of \$10,000.00 The sign will be beneficial to the community for Civil Defence and Emergency Warnings; Road warnings; Public Notices and advertising community activities such as the Easter fair, Spring Fling and special events at Te Awahou Nieuwe Stroom.

6] Support for Save Our River Trust. FCB requests continuing invaluable support for the Save our River Trust work on beautifying the town River frontage for the benefit of the community and tourists for recreational purposes.

7] Support for opening the Foxton Loop. FCB supports and endorses the good work being done by HDC, HRC and SORT on the re-opening of the Foxton loop of the Manawatu River.

8] FCB recommends HDC, continued support for Wildlife Foxton Trust in its environment education and tourism work.

9] FCB endorses to submission for The Reinstatement of Funding in the 2018/2028 LTP for the Coronation Hall Work.

10] FCB would like to bring to the attention of HDC, the flooding and liquefaction risks at Foxton beach as indicated in reports from Horizons Regional Council and that funding be provided for a working party to research and advise on the issue. This could be a catastrophe waiting to happen.

11] FCB requests HDC to continue working with HRC on the Kings Canal and Purcell Street drainage and flooding issues.

FCB wishes to speak to this submission during the daytime on Wed. 3rd May 2017

A handwritten signature in purple ink, appearing to read 'A. Roache', is written in a cursive style.

Foxton Community Board Chairman

Submission Form Annual Plan 2017/18 Consultation

Submission No. 54



Submission date: 07/04/2017 14:19 PM

Receipt number: 35

Question	Response
Notes for Submitters	
Contact Details	
Title:	Ms
Full Name:	Linda Savage
Name of Organisation (if applicable):	
Postal Address for Service:	Foxton
Postcode:	4814
Telephone:	0274319270
Mobile:	0274319270
Email:	goldsharktooth@hotmail.com
Preferred method of communication:	Email
Hearing of Submissions	
Do you wish to present your comments to Council in person at a hearing?:	No
Sign language interpretation required?	
Would you prefer to speak on:	
Would you prefer to present your submission in the:	
Topic 1: Shared Pathways	
Options for Shared Pathways:	Option 3: Allocate \$250,000 to shared pathways for 2017/18

Question	Response
Reasons why this is your preferred option for Shared Pathways:	Shared pathways are important for community connections. The pedestrian/cycleway from Foxtton to Foxtton Beach needs further conventional lighting (as if you have ever walked or cycled at night it is PITCH BLACK and is dangerous and unpleasant to use) Although an initial financial outlay would be needed there would be no maintenance costs. The bike path glows bright blue at night! It is illuminated by phosphor, a synthetic material that lights up after it's charged by sunlight. see links: http://inhabitat.com/poland-unveils-glowing-bright-blue-bike-lane-thats-charged-by-the-sun/ AND http://www.boredpanda.com/glowing-blue-bike-lane-tpa-instytut-badan-technicznych-poland/
Topic 2: Heritage Incentive Funding	
Options for Heritage Incentive Funding:	Option 2: Allocate \$50,000 per annum
Reasons why this is your preferred option for Heritage Incentive Funding:	Heritage Buildings are cultural taonga to us all. Some incentive is a good thing.
Topic 3: Significance and Engagement Policy	
Options for the Significance and Engagement Policy:	Option 3: Update the draft Significance and Engagement Policy following feedback from the community and adopt it
Reasons why this is your preferred option for the Significance and Engagement Policy:	Council engagement with staff and the public is very important. Needs further clarity and input.
Additional Comments	
Comments:	
Submission Attachments	
What other projects or ideas should Council be considering for 2018/19 and beyond?	
Do you agree with what we have identified? What else could we look into?	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Please assist in keeping The Capital Connection permanently. 2. Drain the old paper road (boundary line) between Feild Street & Spring Street. This council drain has not been maintained for over 20years. It cannot drain and release water into the stormwater system as it has collapsed along its entirety. An easy fix. One clear clean cut drain, please. 3. Lighting in Stuart Donnelly Park, please. 4. A "School" or "Children" signage on Avenue Road at the approach of Coley Street School/Futter St/Spring St. There is currently no sign warning speeding drivers that they are entering a school zone where primary aged children are crossing the roads and/or judder bars prior to Futter Street and Spring Street to slow the traffic along Avenue Road.

Question	Response
	<p>5. Develop the old tip face at Foxton Beach (up behind the Camp Ground) that has been closed off. There is an existing gravel roadway upto the highpoint where the old tip use to be. This spot is THE BEST LOOKOUT Foxton has. The area could be gated off and the gravel road could lead up to a lookout carpark - the views are awesome. Tararua, Kapiti, River, Estuary, Sea. For our ageing population as well as all other residents and visitors this small area could spotlight our amazing taonga. It could be gated and closed at sunset like Paekakariki QEII Park is to stop any anti-social behaviour after-hours.</p>
Privacy Act 1993	
Submission Processing	\$0.00
Office Use Only	
Date Received:	
RM8 Number:	
Submission No:	

Submission Form Annual Plan 2017/18 Consultation

Submission No. 55



Submission date: 07/04/2017 15:28 PM

Receipt number: 36

Question	Response
Notes for Submitters	
Contact Details	
Title:	Ms
Full Name:	Ros Lewis
Name of Organisation (if applicable):	Mangaore Village Resident's Association
Postal Address for Service:	
Postcode:	4860
Telephone:	069494248
Mobile:	0272005538
Email:	Ros.Lewis@mvcot.govt.nz
Preferred method of communication:	Email
Hearing of Submissions	
Do you wish to present your comments to Council in person at a hearing?:	Yes
Sign language interpretation required?	No
Would you prefer to speak on:	Thursday, 4 May 2017
Would you prefer to present your submission in the:	Evening
Topic 1: Shared Pathways	
Options for Shared Pathways:	Option 1: Status Quo - work within existing budget
Reasons why this is your preferred option for Shared Pathways:	At this stage it is unclear how this shared pathway proposal will benefit Shannon or the wider Mangahao/Mangaore area. The Council consultation document is vague and non-specific.
Topic 2: Heritage Incentive Funding	
Options for Heritage Incentive Funding:	Option 1: Status Quo - Provide no funding
Reasons why this is your preferred option for Heritage Incentive Funding:	As a Resident's Association we can see no advantage to creating the heritage incentives fund as Council already holds the discretion to waive fees and costs associated with public good on a case by case basis.
Topic 3: Significance and Engagement Policy	
Options for the Significance and Engagement Policy:	Option 2: Adopt the draft Significance and Engagement Policy

Question	Response
Reasons why this is your preferred option for the Significance and Engagement Policy:	this seems to be the most expediant option.
Additional Comments	
Comments:	
Submission Attachments	
What other projects or ideas should Council be considering for 2018/19 and beyond?	
Do you agree with what we have identified? What else could we look into?	<p>1. Mangaore Village and its surrounding environs (Mangahao Rd) would like to see a shared pathway between Shannon and Managaore Village or Mangahao Power Station. This would; cater for sports and recreational users, provide safe movement to and from Shannon/Mangaore for families, encourage cycling, cater to tourists, cater to the use of horses and ensure a more accessable access to and from Shannon in times of civil emergency.</p> <p>2. Beautify the recereational areas of Mangaore and its environs. Focusing on the Mangaore Village Hall specifically we believe that commercial revenue could be generated by hall hire if the park/reserve are around the hall had the following development; bog garden along the creek line of the reserve, "Japanese" bridge upkeep and beautification, developed BBQ area, Improved ablution block enhanced pergola or entrance way re footpath up to hall The envisaged advantages to the Horowhenua and local area is; increased income for hall maintenance and local projects, encourage tourism encourage wedding venue hire and photographic use by weddings and special occassions enhance the environmental use of the area by local schools and preschools (educational) minimise erosion and generally maintain the asthetic and historic qualities of the area encourage sports and recreational use</p>
Privacy Act 1993	
Submission Processing	\$0.00
Office Use Only	
Date Received:	
RM8 Number:	
Submission No:	

Submission Form Annual Plan 2017/18 Consultation

Submission No. 56



Submission date: 08/04/2017 20:21 PM

Receipt number: 38

Question	Response
Notes for Submitters	
Contact Details	
Title:	Mrs
Full Name:	Phillippa Martin
Name of Organisation (if applicable):	
Postal Address for Service:	Manakau Levin
Postcode:	5573
Telephone:	063626826
Mobile:	
Email:	phmartin@xtra.co.nz
Preferred method of communication:	Email
Hearing of Submissions	
Do you wish to present your comments to Council in person at a hearing?:	No
Sign language interpretation required?	
Would you prefer to speak on:	
Would you prefer to present your submission in the:	
Topic 1: Shared Pathways	
Options for Shared Pathways:	Option 3: Allocate \$250,000 to shared pathways for 2017/18
Reasons why this is your preferred option for Shared Pathways:	Interest in this kind of activity is growing rapidly for many good reasons. We should be offering the chance to connect with the other NZ pathways. We need to ensure we can financially maintain any work done.
Topic 2: Heritage Incentive Funding	
Options for Heritage Incentive Funding:	Option 2: Allocate \$50,000 per annum
Reasons why this is your preferred option for Heritage Incentive Funding:	\$50,000 isn't much but it shows willing.
Topic 3: Significance and Engagement Policy	

Question	Response
Options for the Significance and Engagement Policy:	Option 3: Update the draft Significance and Engagement Policy following feedback from the community and adopt it
Reasons why this is your preferred option for the Significance and Engagement Policy:	
Additional Comments	
Comments:	
Submission Attachments	
What other projects or ideas should Council be considering for 2018/19 and beyond?	
Do you agree with what we have identified? What else could we look into?	
Privacy Act 1993	
Submission Processing	\$0.00
Office Use Only	
Date Received:	
RM8 Number:	
Submission No:	