



AGENDA

ORDINARY MEETING

FOXTON COMMUNITY BOARD

3 MAY 2010

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that a meeting of the Foxton Community Board of the Horowhenua District Council will be held in the Chambers, Foxton Service Centre, Main Street, Foxton, on Monday, 3 May 2010 commencing at 6.30 p.m.

Members of the Foxton Community Board are:

Mr B P Vertongen QSM (Chair)
Ms N J Ellwood
Mr N G Gimblett
Mr J A Murdoch
Mr D J Roache
Cr D A Allan (HDC appointed representative)
Cr A M Hunt (HDC appointed representative)

Reporting Officer: D G Ward (Chief Executive Officer)
Meeting Secretary: Mrs K J Corkill (Meeting Secretary)

Business will be according to the attached Agenda.

Contacts 06 366 0999
Postal Address: Private Bag 4002, Levin 5540
Service Centre - Foxton - Main Street, Foxton Phone 06 363 8174
enquiries@horowhenua.govt.nz
www.horowhenua.govt.nz

AGENDA

3 MAY 2010

ORDER OF BUSINESS:

1. Apologies
2. Public Speaking Rights
Notification to speak is required by 12 noon on the day of the meeting. Further information is available on www.horowhenua.govt.nz or by phoning 06 366 0999
3. Late Items:
To consider, and if thought fit, to pass a resolution to permit the Council to consider any further items which do not appear on the Agenda of this meeting and/or the meeting to be held with the public excluded.
Such resolution is required to be made pursuant to Section 46A(7) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987, and the Chairperson must advise:
 - (i) The reason why the item was not on the Agenda, and
 - (ii) The reason why the discussion of this item cannot be delayed until a subsequent meeting.
4. Members' Conflict of Interest
Members are reminded of their obligation to declare any conflicts of interest they might have in respect of the items on this Agenda.
5. Confirmation of Minutes - Ordinary Meeting 22 March 2010 (Minute Items 456-463)

CONTENTS:

ITEM	PRECIS	FILE NO	PAGE
REPORTS			1
Item-465	Foxton Community Board Monitoring Report to 3 May 2010	4177	1
Item-466	Proceedings Foxton Code of Conduct Subcommittee 25 March 2010 and 19 April 2010	4176	9
Item-467	Foxton Code of Conduct Subcommittee Receipt of Report from the reconvened meeting of 3 May 2010	4195	22
Item-468	Council resolutions relating to Foxton Community Board	4179	24
Item-469	Chief Executive Officer's Report to 3 May 2010	4180	26
Item-470	Resource Consents Issued	4181	35
MOTION TO EXCLUDE THE PUBLIC			37
CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES			37
Item-471	In Committee Foxton Community Board Monitoring Report to 3 May 2010 CONFIDENTIAL	4178	37
Item-472	26 April 2010, reconvened 3 May 2010, Minutes Foxton Code of Conduct Meeting CONFIDENTIAL	4196	37

REPORTS

Item-465 Foxton Community Board Monitoring Report to 3 May 2010

File No 4177

To: The Chairperson and Members
Foxton Community Board

From: Chief Executive Officer

Date: 3 May 2010

1. Purpose

- a. To provide an update on the Foxton Community Board Monitoring Report to 3 May 2010.

2. Recommendation

- a. That Report 4177 be received.
- b. That this matter or decision be recognised as not significant in terms of s76 of the Local Government Act 2002.

	Name and title of signatories	Signature
Prepared by	D G Ward Chief Executive Officer	
<u>Confirmation of statutory compliance</u>		
In accordance with section 76 of the Local Government Act 2002, this report is approved as: <ol style="list-style-type: none">a. containing sufficient information about the options and their benefits and costs, bearing in mind the significance of the decisions; and,b. is based on adequate knowledge about, and adequate consideration of, the views and preferences of affected and interested parties bearing in mind the significance of the decision.		

3. Attachments

- a. Foxton Community Board Monitoring Report to 3 May 2010
-

**MONITORING REPORT
FOXTON COMMUNITY BOARD**

Meeting Date	Item No.	Item Description	Resolved	Responsible Officer	Date to Action by	Date Completed	Officer Comment
9 February 2009	Item 399 Part	Chief Executive Officer's Report	<i>"THAT the safety issues pertaining to the Busy Bee Dairy be followed up."</i>	M Pond		Completed	
9 Feb 2009	Item 401	Foxton Multi-Purpose Facility	<i>"THAT the FCB supports further investigation and discussion with the Foxton/Foxton Beach residents for the development of a multi-purpose facility including, but not exclusively, the Dutch Museum, Maori arts and craft gallery, library, visitor information centre, environmental education centre (including Manawatu Estuary)." "THAT should the Foxton Community Board support the concept of a multi-purpose facility the Foxton Public Amenities Project Steering Group be reconstituted to discuss the concept, with key stakeholders invited to participate in discussions."</i>	D M Clapperton		Ongoing	Refer to comments in the Chief Executive Officer's report

**MONITORING REPORT
FOXTON COMMUNITY BOARD**

Meeting Date	Item No.	Item Description	Resolved	Responsible Officer	Date to Action by	Date Completed	Officer Comment
30 Nov 2009	Item 445	Chief Executive Officer's Report to 30 November 2009	<i>"THAT a workshop be held with Community Board Members, the Chief Executive Officer and relevant Council staff to look at the process that had taken place over the past two years in relation to the Recycling Centre Upgrades."</i>	D G Ward	30 June 2010		Staff have commenced work on this exercise. I anticipate a draft report will be completed by end of May 2010.
30 Nov 2009	Item 447	Forbes Road Show Home	<i>"THAT the Foxton Community Board recommends to Council that a community playground be erected on Section 44 of the Forbes Road Subdivision at a maximum cost of \$20,000.00, with the playground to be completed by the end of January 2010."</i> <i>"THAT the Foxton Community Board recommends to Council that a show home be</i>	G O'Connor	30 April 2010	Ongoing	As there no budget for this item in the current financial year, staff will incorporate the request in the Draft 2010/2011 Annual Plan should that be the wish of the Board. The playground will be funded from the Foxton Beach Freeholding Account. A building consent application is being prepared.

**MONITORING REPORT
FOXTON COMMUNITY BOARD**

Meeting Date	Item No.	Item Description	Resolved	Responsible Officer	Date to Action by	Date Completed	Officer Comment
			<i>erected in the Forbes Road subdivision on either section 103 or 107.</i>				
8 Feb 2010	Item 450	Notice of Motion	<p><i>"THAT the Deputy Chair and two (2) members of the public who have the requisite skills/expertise be appointed to investigate whether the Foxton Community Board's Code of Conduct has been breached."</i></p> <p><i>"THAT the member to which the complaint relates be notified in writing of the complaint and explain when and how he will get the opportunity to put his version of events."</i></p>	D Ward		Completed	Minutes of the meetings of the Foxton code of Conduct Subcommittee held on 25 March 2010 and 19 April 2010 are attached to today's agenda.
8 Feb 2010	Item 452	Chief Executive Officer's Report to 8 February 2010	<p>Page 17 3a. <u>Foxton Youth Council Committee</u></p> <p><i>"THAT the Chief Executive Officer facilitate a meeting of the Foxton Youth Council's Committee and the Horowhenua Youth Voice with a view to</i></p>	D Ward		Ongoing	

**MONITORING REPORT
FOXTON COMMUNITY BOARD**

Meeting Date	Item No.	Item Description	Resolved	Responsible Officer	Date to Action by	Date Completed	Officer Comment
			<p><i>establishing a youth programme for the benefit of the Horowhenua District with a report to come back on the outcome.</i></p> <p>Cr Hunt requested a report on the impact of <u>recycling</u> crates when it came to holiday home users. Was the bin option supported or was there a continuing need to provide a recycling station.</p> <p><i>"THAT Messrs Vertongen, Roache and Murdoch meet with relevant Council staff to progress the Pinewood Motor Camp development."</i></p> <p><u>Holben Reserve</u> <i>"THAT the Board undertakes a review of the proposed landscape plan for Holben Reserve with a view to</i></p>			<p></p> <p>Ongoing</p> <p>Ongoing</p>	<p>Reference to this request will be made in the report to the Community Board on kerbside recycling matters.</p> <p>Further discussion on this matter is included in the Chief Executive's report.</p>

**MONITORING REPORT
FOXTON COMMUNITY BOARD**

Meeting Date	Item No.	Item Description	Resolved	Responsible Officer	Date to Action by	Date Completed	Officer Comment
			<i>incorporating any changes and required investment into Council's next LTCCP."</i>				
22 Mar 2010	Item 456	Proceedings Foxton Code of Conduct Subcommittee 3 March 2010	<i>"THAT the Foxton Community Board receive the minutes of Foxton Code of Conduct Subcommittee meeting held on 3 March 2010."</i>	D Ward		Completed	
22 Mar 2010	Item 457	Foxton Community Board Monitoring Report to 22 March 2010	Page 9 Item 401 <u>Foxton Multi-Purpose Facility</u> The matter of there still being signs in Main Street advising of another public meeting to be held in February would be redirected to Mr Clapperton.	D Ward		Completed	
22 Mar 2010	Item 458	Foxton Amenities - Retention of Foxton Service Centre Facade	<i>"THAT the Foxton Community Board reconfirms its earlier decision in respect of the retention of the existing façade of the present Foxton Service Centre in any new building for the Te Awahou Nieuwe Stroom Project."</i>	D Clapperton		Completed	

**MONITORING REPORT
FOXTON COMMUNITY BOARD**

Meeting Date	Item No.	Item Description	Resolved	Responsible Officer	Date to Action by	Date Completed	Officer Comment
22 Mar 2010	Item 459	Foxton River Loop Reserve Gravel Track Closure	<i>"THAT the Board recommend to Council that the gravel track is not closed, and pedestrian signage is installed onto the track with the signage to include "Children's Playground - Caution."</i>	D Tate		Completed	
22 Mar 2010	Item 460	Foxton Pools - General Report	<i>"THAT the Foxton Swimming Pool be included on the Monitoring Report, including the results of discussions held between staff and stakeholders."</i>	D Tate		30 June 2010	Officers are currently preparing a report.
22 Mar 2010	Item 461	Council Resolutions relating to Foxton Community Board	<i>"THAT Report 4134 be received."</i>	D Ward		Completed	
22 Mar 2010	Item 462	Chief Executive Officer's Report to 22 March 2010	<i>"THAT Mrs Paton's submission in relation to the Foxton effluent consent analytical reports be received." "THAT an Officer report be brought back to the Foxton Community Board responding to Mrs Paton's submission and its recommendations and</i>	D Ward			Refer to comments in the Chief Executive's Report

**MONITORING REPORT
FOXTON COMMUNITY BOARD**

Meeting Date	Item No.	Item Description	Resolved	Responsible Officer	Date to Action by	Date Completed	Officer Comment
			<i>outlining Council's legal responsibilities and possible ramifications as they relate to compliance with the Foxton effluent consent."</i>				
22 Mar 2010	Item 463	Resource Consents Issued	<i>"THAT the list of land use and subdivision resource consents be received.</i>	T Thomas		Completed	

Item-466 Proceedings Foxton Code of Conduct Subcommittee 25 March 2010 and 19 April 2010

File No 4176

To: The Chairperson and Members
Foxton Community Board

From: Chief Executive Officer

Date: 3 May 2010

1. Purpose

- a. To present to the Foxton Community Board the minutes of the Foxton Code of Conduct Subcommittee meeting held on 25 March 2010 and 19 April 2010.

2. Recommendation

- a. That Report 4176 be received.
- b. That the Foxton Community Board **receive** the minutes of the Foxton Code of Conduct Subcommittee meeting held on 25 March 2010 and 19 April 2010.

3. Issues for Consideration

- a. The following items considered by Foxton Code of Conduct Subcommittee meeting held on 25 March 2010 and 19 April 2010 will require further consideration by the Foxton Community Board and will be included on a future Board agenda:
 - (i) There were no items that require further consideration at today's meeting.

Prepared

D G Ward
Chief Executive Officer

4. Attachments

- a. Minutes Foxton Code of Conduct Subcommittee meeting 25 March 2010 and 19 April 2010
-

MINUTES OF THE FOXTON CODE OF CONDUCT SUBCOMMITTEE MEETING HELD IN THE CHAMBERS, FOXTON SERVICE CENTRE, MAIN STREET, FOXTON, ON THURSDAY 25 MARCH 2010 AT 5.30 PM

PRESENT

Mr S Cull (Chair)
Mr N G Gimblett
Mrs S M Paddison

IN ATTENDANCE

Mr D G Ward (Chief Executive Officer)
Mrs K J Corkill (Meeting Secretary)

ALSO IN ATTENDANCE

Cr D A Allan
Cr A M Hunt
Mr B Vertongen

PUBLIC IN ATTENDANCE

There were thirteen members of the public in attendance at the commencement of the meeting.

ANNOUNCEMENTS

Permission had been requested by Mr Bryan Ten Have to record the meeting. Under Standing Orders 3.3.8 (c), permission to record the meeting had been granted.

The Chair declared the meeting open.

1. APOLOGIES

There were no apologies recorded.

2. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES - 3 MARCH 2010

Resolved

(Gimblett / Paddison)

"THAT the minutes of the Foxton Code of Conduct Subcommittee meeting held on 3 March 2010 be confirmed as a true and correct record."

3. REPORT 4144 - REQUESTED CORRESPONDENCE
REPORT 4145 - RESPONSE TO REQUESTS FOR EVIDENCE

Resolved

(Gimblett / Paddison)

"THAT Reports 4144 and 4145 be received."

Mr Ward noted, in respect of Report 4145 (page 15), that the Subcommittee had requested at its meeting of 3 March 2010 that written evidence be requested from Crs Allan and Hunt, which evidence was attached to the body of the report. Both Cr Allan and Cr Hunt had been made aware that the information would be addressed in a public forum. Additionally Mr Vertongen had been advised that information would be received in public, unless he requested otherwise.

Mr Ward then outlined the following process for the conduct of tonight's meeting:

- Subcommittee members would consider the written evidence, with the opportunity to direct questions to the respective parties who provided that evidence.
- Mr Vertongen would then be given the opportunity to make comments, but could not direct questions to those who provided evidence;
- The Subcommittee could then decide whether further evidence was required;
- If further evidence was requested in writing, that would be considered at a subsequent meeting;
- If no further evidence was required, the Subcommittee would then deliberate and make a determination.

Cr Allan joined the table to respond to Committee Members' questions, with it being agreed that his written statement would be taken as read.

Mr Gimblett requested Cr Allan to clarify, since he had not been at the official opening, how he had gained information as to what had occurred on the evening in question.

Cr Allan responded:

- there were two sources – the media, and from discussions with a number of people, both in the community and elected representatives.
- in the media items (shown on pages 27 & 28) there appeared to be a consistent version of what took place;
- that was the reason in writing his submission he said he believed it was a statement of fact, which would be further clarified by tonight's discussion;
- the reference to the burning of a photo of two people in the course of the ceremony in the media articles had not been challenged and on that basis he drew conclusions and started to worry about the implications.

Mrs Paddison said Cr Allan's comments had addressed a question she had had.

Focussing on the actual complaint, Mr Gimblett suggested there appeared to be two issues – one was to do with the relationship with the community and the other relationships within the Community Board.

Cr Allan said that at the heart of his concern was what the actions may have done to the credibility of the Foxton Community Board and he worried about the message sent by those actions and what it said about the way the Community Board responded to dissent. Whilst he underlined he was in no way judging the intent of the Chair, he felt it was clearly a perception that could be taken by the public.

Mr Cull said he had read through Cr Allan's submission many times and had some difficulty with the fact that, except for what was written in the newspaper articles, Cr Allan's response was based on hearsay. If the matter was as severe as Cr Allan believed, surely someone who was actually at the event should have promoted this action.

Whilst believing that was a fair challenge as he had not been present at the time, Cr Allan suggested that when hearsay was to the extent that it was on this occasion and when the comments seemed to be consistent around key matters of fact, then as a member of the Community Board he had to reflect on the actions of the Chair and any damage done to the reputation of the Board. Sometimes hearsay had to be challenged. All he had done was start a process whereby that hearsay may be found wanting or may be found to be factual. He believed, in considering the media articles, there were two journalists and two streams of investigation, which tended to support what happened was a matter of fact.

Mr Cull expressed his confusion that Cr Allan had laid this complaint against the Board Chair and not other members of the Community Board.

Cr Allan said he had not considered taking action against other Board members. His actions had been based on Mr Vertongen's role as Chair of the Community Board, rather than the actions of individual Board Members.

For clarity, Mr Gimblett suggested that Cr Allan's complaint was based on actions rather than comments, and the impact of those actions on the people concerned and the wider message those actions sent to the community.

Mr Vertongen declined to raise any questions through the Chair at this juncture.

Cr Hunt, in speaking to the information she had provided, advised a correction in her affidavit. Mr Gimblett's name needed to be removed as he had not been present on the evening in question.

Following on from the questions directed at Cr Allan, Mr Gimblett queried if it was correct that Cr Hunt found the comments made by Mr Vertongen to the media on the event more serious than the events at the seawall opening.

Cr Hunt said she thought the media comments compounded the problem. There were also comments made on national radio that referred to Mrs Paton and the councillor seeking an apology. She never demand an apology from anyone as an apology had to be genuinely made.

Mr Gimblett also queried whether the media comments should be considered in relation to the Code of Conduct enquiry as the Code clearly allowed individual Board Members to comment to the media from a personal viewpoint. He would have though Mr Vertongen's comments came under that category.

Cr Hunt felt in this instance Mr Vertongen was identified as the Chair of the Board.

There were also issues when it came to comments that involved the RMA. Cr Allan was Chair of the Hearing Committee and there was a concern, with the current District Plan Review be undertaken, that any adverse comments could discourage people putting in submissions into that process.

In response to a query as to the point at which the seawall opening ended, Cr Hunt said she was not sure as she left because she had been shocked. She did not think it was appropriate to challenge the actions. She phoned Mr Rabone first and he was equally offended and asked if anything else had happened when the effigy was burned.

Mrs Paddison queried if Cr Hunt considered the newspaper cutting an effigy.

Cr Hunt responded that the word 'effigy' was used and when she checked the legal definition, it was used in the correct context.

In response to another query re Mr Vertongen's comments and whether the official function ended when the wall had been opened and Mr Vertongen had said a few words, Cr Hunt said she had not recorded what was said but it was one or two sentences on the seawall, which led into comments on the newspaper clipping. She left after the ceremony.

Mr Cull queried the fact that Cr Hunt seconded Cr Allan's motion pro forma. He could certainly see that she had some passion about what she had seen, but he was puzzled that she had voted against the motion.

Cr Hunt said she voted against the motion because of the way it was worded. It basically said that Mr Vertongen had breached the Code of Conduct, whereas, at that stage, that had not been established. Whilst she believed it was in issue that was festering and needed to be discussed, at that stage no breach had been established. She had seconded the motion to allow debate to occur. She believed that Mr Vertongen was entitled to a fair hearing and she did not believe that Board Members were in a position to provide that. Cr Allan had moved the motion, she and Ms Ellwood had commented publically on the matter, and Messrs Roache and Murdoch were also involved. She argued strongly that the matter be dealt with by an independent group of people.

In response to queries from Mrs Paddison and Mr Gimblett, Cr Hunt said:

- Mr Vertongen held the newspaper clipping;
- there had been some difficulty getting the clipping alight and she could not quite recall who was holding it at that stage;
- it may have been possible that Mr Vertongen was not holding the lighter;
- it was a ceremony of three people, with the roles being intermingled;
- in relation to what was said, Mr & Mrs Paton were not mentioned directly by name;
- in the comments afterwards it was quite clear that there was an allegation against Mr & Mrs Paton and everyone present knew the whole ceremony was directed at them;
- in relation to the allegation, she had not taken notes and never quoted anyone unless she had taken a record of the conversation;
- she was reluctant to say, but was clear in her own mind, that the comments were directed at Mr & Mrs Paton, even though they were not referred to by name;
- she went away with that strong impression, even though she had not recorded any words;
- she could not swear on oath who made the comments.

Mr Ward directed the Subcommittee's attention back to Report 4145 (page 15). Having sought clarification from Crs Allan and Hunt on points in their evidence, the next step in the process was to provide an opportunity for Mr Vertongen to comment, either in writing or orally, about the statements that had been made. Mr Vertongen should also be asked if he intended to respond to the original request of the Meeting of 3 March 2010 to provide further evidence in writing.

The Subcommittee could then determine whether there was sufficient information to allow deliberation and a decision on the Notice of Motion or whether additional information should be sought and what source that should come from.

Mr Cull invited Mr Vertongen now to provide his comments.

Mr Vertongen joined the table and commented as follows:

- with regard to Cr Allan's comments, he was not responsible for the content of the newspaper articles;
- only one had come close to what he told them;
- the first he had heard of a problem in relation to the seawall opening was when he received phone calls from reporters stating that Cr Hunt had made a sworn affidavit;
- the phone calls kept coming; talk back radio kept coming; even his son overseas read about it in the overseas news;
- it had been blown way out of proportion - the simple reason being Cr Hunt;
- in relation to the completion of the building of the seawall:
 - this was a simple contract - a simple job made ridiculously complicated by a few people;
 - Horizons put three people together to have a hearing, which it said would be an unbiased hearing;
 - in his view that was not correct, it was a fiasco from the word go;
- dealing with the sworn affidavit by Cr Hunt:
 - point 5 - it is the Foxton Surf Life Saving Club, not the Foxton Beach Surf building;
 - point 6 - "Mr Vertongen whistled to catch the attention of those present.." He cannot whistle as he has a tooth missing; he just called out;
 - point 7 - "Mr Vertongen said a few words..." Cr Hunt was not in a position to say who said what. He did give a shortened version of what he was going to say because the conditions were atrocious;
 - he did allude to himself and Messrs Story and Roache who had travelled to Japan; had found a sea wall doing an admirable job; on seeing how well it worked they brought the idea back and Mr Roache designed something very similar and had it drawn up and, in turn, an engineer from HDC at that time approached Horizons and asked for permission to put in a trial wall;
 - the engineer assured us he was given the verbal okay to put a trial wall in;
 - the trial wall worked a treat;
 - it was meant to be there for 12 months, but remained longer;
 - it took some of the highest seas;
- that was a background to that was said and it was more than a few sentences;
- he had also acknowledged Graham Lamond, a Council worker present;
- Council workers really got stuck in and helped get the project through ;
- point 8 – "He then produced a newspaper clipping which I understand had been pinned to the wall of his office"; it was actually pinned to the wall of the smoko room;
- point 9 – the conditions were windy, Mr Roache could hardly operate the cigarette lighter, so it was put in the bucket;
- he had no qualms about admitting he had lit the newspaper article;
- when it was out, he threw it on the seawall and declared the sea wall open;
- there were no photographs;
- of course he was laughing, the one time he wanted to get a cigarette lighter to work and it would not;
- point 14 - any issue with Kerry Rabone was based on misinformation. Cr Hunt had told Mr Rabone that Mr Vertongen was against getting the Beach Wardens going; he was not against it; all he questioned was whether it could be done so quickly. When the Beach Wardens were up and running, he made a public announcement congratulating them on a job well done;
- point 16 - To claim Cr Hunt nominated him for a Queen's Honour was not correct; if it was anyone it was Harley and Lillian Fearon. Cr Hunt wrote the nomination letter on behalf of the Surf Lifesaving Club. He was President at the time and did know what was going on;

- he freely admitted burning the newspaper article and if it did happen to have a photograph, so what? The crux of matter and what nobody has been willing to print is the article was really saying 18 people won over 6,000;
- his burning of the article was to acknowledge the fiasco that had taken place in getting a sea terrace (which is what it was) built. The sea terrace was able to dissipate the power of the waves and worked a treat, except for the part where the engineers, who thought they knew more and decided to do other things, had made changes, which had since failed;
- his words were to the effect of acknowledging the fiasco and protesting the way that it had been handled by everyone involved. As a protest he burnt the article and finally put the ghost to rest and that was going to be the end of it;
- Cr Hunt, in her wisdom, rushed around and got nation-wide coverage. It should have ended there and then.
- he was elected to represent the wider community and that is what he had done as a protest to the whole farcical situation;
- he did wish to apologise to the Mayor, the CEO and Council staff and all but one of the Councillors;
- in relation to the response from the 20 odd people when the ceremony had concluded, the general response was loud applause;
- only one person dissented, and that was not an argument; the incorrect information he had been given was replaced by correct information;
- he believed the official part of the evening finished when he threw the bucket of water over the wall and said "I now declare the sea wall open";
- he would not be providing any information in writing; he had been served by the Paton's lawyer, wanting this and wanting that, and was not going to give them any more material.

Mr Ten Have offered to provide the Chair with a copy of his recording of the meeting if that would assist with the Subcommittee's deliberations.

In terms of the process, Mr Ward said the Subcommittee now had to consider whether there had been a breach of the Code of Conduct by Mr Vertongen, particularly in relation to those issues raised by Cr Allan and highlighted further on page 17 of the Agenda. The evidence requested had been received and Mr Vertongen had had the opportunity to respond and also to ask questions.

After discussion, the Subcommittee felt more time was needed for consideration and it would be helpful to listen again to the tape of Mr Vertongen's comments.

Mr Ward suggested, if the Subcommittee wished to seek additional evidence they should identify from whom that should be sought. Mr Ward, as the Subcommittee's administrator, should be directed to obtain that evidence. Following receipt of that evidence, a further meeting would be convened (during either the week of 12 April 2010 or 19 April 2010).

It was agreed that information should be requested from the following:

- Kerry Rabone
- David Roache
- John Story
- Tony Murdoch;

with the Chair noting that those who were asked to give evidence did have the right to decline.

Mr Ward also noted that it was not appropriate to seek comment from Council staff present (Colette Bennie and Graham Lamond).

Mr Cull, having heard all the evidence, pondered on the following:

- Mr Vertongen's evidence and the light in which he saw the evening's proceedings;
- the relationship of the Chair with the Community in light of the Code of Conduct;
- how to view and weigh the different actions, particularly in light of the contrary views;
- also with Mr & Mrs Paton not being present on that evening, and how to view their position.

Mrs Paddison noted that the Subcommittee was hearing two different versions of the same event and the challenge was how, as individuals, they regarded what took place.

Mr Gimblett said he would withhold comment until the other statements requested had been received.

Resolved

(Cull / Gimblett)

"THAT the Foxton Code of Conduct Subcommittee requested Kerry Rabone, David Roache, John Story and Tony Murdoch to provide written statements on the Foxton Beach Seawall Opening event on 20 November 2009 to be provided within fourteen (14) days of receipt of a written request."

Mr Ward said he would now write letter to the identified people asking them to provide the requested information. He would then contact Subcommittee members to ascertain their availability for a further meeting, confirming that 5.30 pm was a suitable time. The venue would be the Foxton Service Centre.

MEETING CLOSURE

There being no further business the meeting concluded at 6.30 pm..

CONFIRMED this day of

.....
Chairperson

MINUTES OF THE FOXTON CODE OF CONDUCT SUBCOMMITTEE MEETING HELD IN THE CHAMBERS, FOXTON SERVICE CENTRE, MAIN STREET, FOXTON, ON MONDAY 19 APRIL 2010 AT 5.30 PM

PRESENT

Mr S Cull (Chair)
Mr N G Gimblett
Mrs S M Paddison

IN ATTENDANCE

Mr D G Ward (Chief Executive Officer)
Mrs K J Corkill (Meeting Secretary)

ALSO IN ATTENDANCE

Mr D J Roache
Mr J A Murdoch
Cr A M Hunt
Mr B V Vertongen
Cr D A Allan

PUBLIC IN ATTENDANCE

There were 13 members of the public in attendance at the commencement of the meeting.

ANNOUNCEMENTS

Mr Bryan Ten Have had requested and been given permission to record the meeting, as he had done at the previous meeting.

The Chair opened the meeting.

Mr Ward noted that Mr Vertongen had not yet arrived and suggested that it was appropriate that he be in attendance for the entire meeting. Mr Vertongen's arrival coincided with Mr Ward's comments (5.33 pm).

1. APOLOGIES

Whilst not a member of the Subcommittee, Ms Ngaire Ellwood had recorded an apology for lateness and requested that this be noted in the minutes.

Resolved

(Gimblett / Paddison)

"THAT Ms Ellwood's apology for lateness be received."

2. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES - 25 MARCH 2010

Mr Ward read an e-mail he had received from Cr Hunt:

"I have read the minutes, and note a number of comments that I have not had an opportunity refute. I would therefore like this email placed on record, as I would be concerned if some of the assumptions, hearsay and other statements made by Mr Vertongen are accepted as factual."

Mr Ward outlined the options that the Subcommittee had in relation to Cr Hunt's e-mail:

- whilst further input from Cr Hunt had not been scheduled, she could be offered the opportunity to clarify the matters of concern;
- if that course of action was taken, Mr Vertongen should then be given the opportunity to respond.

Cr Hunt clarified that she was not challenging the accuracy of the minutes, but the accuracy of some of the comments made by Mr Vertongen. However, she did not want to deal with the matter today, but wanted to have her concerns recorded.

Resolved

(Cull / Gimblett)

"THAT the minutes of the Foxton Code of Conduct Subcommittee meeting held on 25 March 2010 be confirmed as a true and correct record."

3. REPORT 4188 - RESPONSE TO REQUESTS FOR EVIDENCE

Resolved

(Gimblett / Paddison)

"THAT Report 4188 be received."

Mr Ward spoke to the report and reiterated that he had received correspondence from Mr Rabone and Mr Roache. He had received a telephone call from John Story, followed by a fax received this afternoon. Mr Ward read the contents of the fax to the meeting and then tabled a copy (which is **attached** to the official minutes). He had also received a telephone call from Mr Murdoch who had advised that he was preparing something that he would present to today's meeting.

Messrs Rabone, Roache and Murdoch had indicated that they would make themselves available to attend today's meeting to:

- present or speak to the evidence; and
- respond to questions from the Subcommittee.

Mr Rabone joined the table and indicated he did not wish to read out his statement.

Resolved

(Gimblett / Paddison)

"THAT the written statement provided by Mr Rabone dated 8 April 2010 be received."

Mr Rabone responded to questions put to him by Subcommittee members:

- he did not hear any individuals' names mentioned;
- in relation to how long he thought the speeches had taken, his recollection was:
 - the first part was the mention of the completion of the seawall, which was very short - a matter of seconds;
 - the second part was to do with the newspaper clipping, which had taken somewhat longer;
 - the third part had been the official opening when there had been a declaration that the seawall was open.

Following Mr Rabone's comments, Mr Murdoch joined the table. Mr Murdoch said he would provide his version of events that took place at the seawall opening, and was providing this information without prejudice as he believed there was an orchestrated attack on a certain group of people of which he was part, and he did not think it was necessary.

He further commented:

- he was totally dismayed at what had happened since the seawall opening;
- the weather on the day was miserable and the wind was blowing in excess of 20 knots;
- on leaving home he wondered if the event would still be on as the wind was reading northwest 21 knots;
- light refreshments were provided at the Surf Club;
- there was a general opening and everyone spoke - it was an excellent event;
- then a dozen or so people walked out to the seawall for the actual opening;
- Mr Vertongen was requested to take some photos and Mr Vertongen had provided him with a camera;
- he was standing about 1½ metres away;
- he had a good deal of difficulty with Mr Vertongen's camera and asked for instructions, but Mr Vertongen was upwind and could not hear;
- most of the other people were standing 3-4 metres away;
- basically the ceremony was very short;
- from his recollection, Mr Vertongen said he was going to put the ghost to rest;
- he was unable to read the article at 1½ metres away;
- he struggled to understand how anyone could see it at 3-4 metres away;
- he recollected Mr Vertongen saying "this article says the seawall must go, but it stays and that is the end of the matter";
- Mr Vertongen tried to light the article and the lighter would not work. Mr Vertongen laughed about the lighter not working;
- Mr Vertongen then declared the seawall open;
- he was concerned about how this matter had progressed;
- he had received a letter from Bennion Law and mentioned slander and defamatory statements;
- he had not heard any defamatory or slanderous statements;
- he had contacted the newspaper to find out who had provided the information to them;
- he had been told it was Cr Hunt and Mr & Mrs Paton;
- his concern was that they were going down a path of total destruction for this community when they should be concentrating on other things.

In response to Subcommittee members' questions, Mr Murdoch said:

- in his opinion, in lighting the article, Mr Vertongen had not intended a personal attack, he was just "putting a ghost to rest" so he could move on;

- from his position 1½ metres away, he could hear most of what Mr Vertongen said as the wind was blowing from the northwest and his voice was carrying that way, although it was pretty hard work;
- he failed to see how people 3-4 metres away could hear anything;
- he could see a few words on the newspaper article; however it was late in the day with perhaps ¾ of an hour of daylight left;
- he thought it would have been supposition by other members that were there in relation to what was on the piece of paper that Mr Vertongen was burning, although more people would have been aware of the article than he;
- in relation to the introductory comments and the brevity of what was involved in those comments, he did not recall a lot of what was said;
- at that stage it was blowing so hard people just wanted to go home.
- he believed that Mr Vertongen's focus with regard to the seawall on that evening was to do with history and putting past matters to rest.

Upon Mr Murdoch completing his evidence, Mr Roache was invited to the table.

Mr Roache declined to make any comments as a third party was recording the meeting, a stance that he had already advised to the CEO. He was happy to speak if Council was recording the meeting, but not if it was recorded by a third party.

Mr Ward suggested that it would now be prudent for Subcommittee members to direct any questions to Mr Vertongen and provide him with an opportunity to respond to any of the evidence provided so far tonight. Following that, the Subcommittee would need to consider whether it wished to hear evidence from Mr John Story, who would not be available until after 29 April 2010, or whether members believed they could proceed to the next stage without such evidence. Should Mr Vertongen wish to make further comment, he may prefer to wait until any evidence from Mr Story had been provided.

After a brief discussion, Subcommittee members agreed that they had sufficient information to proceed to deliberate.

The Subcommittee asked Mr Vertongen if he had any comments or questions with regard to the evidence presented by Messrs Rabone and Murdoch. Mr Vertongen responded that he did not have any comments to make.

Mr Vertongen indicated that he did not object to the Subcommittee deliberating without hearing from Mr Story. He said he felt that enough time had been spent on this matter and he was happy for the Subcommittee to deliberate and get on with it.

As there was no provision on the Agenda for 'public excluded' deliberation, Mr Ward suggested that procedurally the Subcommittee should now provide Mr Vertongen with the opportunity to either verbally or in writing make a closing statement. The content of such a closing statement would be in relation to what the evidence received had established with regard to the charge.

Upon Mr Vertongen indicating that he was quite happy with the statement he had made at the previous meeting, Mr Cull asked whether Mr Vertongen would like 24 hours to consider his position before the Subcommittee deliberated.

In response to Mr Cull's query, Mr Vertongen said he would make a statement tonight:

- he said there were a couple of things in the minutes that were not factually correct; he had operated the cigarette lighter, Mr Roache had held the clipping;

- a main concern was that the newspaper articles said that his actions would discourage people from making submissions;
- he personally would encourage people to make submissions;
- however, it was the people who submitted on anything and everything that came up who were the ones who were going to make it harder for ordinary people to make submissions because of the cost to councils of the continual submissions made by the same group of people;
- his worry was, and he aligned it to the 15 year old drivers' licence situation, that 10% were causing the problem and 90% were paying the price because of that problem 10% (it was probably only 1%);
- that 1% were costing ratepayers of this community a fortune by the way they submitted on every little thing that came up.

Mr Vertongen concluded by saying he was happy with the statement he had made when giving evidence. The seawall had finally been built; all he wanted to do was 'put the ghost to rest'. Logic and commonsense had finally prevailed in relation to the seawall's construction, although it had been expensive.

Following Mr Vertongen's comments, Mr Ward suggested it was now appropriate for the Subcommittee to identify a suitable date to deliberate. The process at the next meeting would be to adopt the minutes of this meeting and then move into public excluded, under the relevant sections of the Local Government Act 2001, to deliberate. Once the Subcommittee's deliberations were concluded and its decision recorded, it would then publically present its findings to the 3 May 2010 Community Board meeting.

After discussion, it was proposed that the next Subcommittee meeting would be held on Monday, 26 April 2010, at 5.30 pm.

MEETING CLOSURE

There being no further business the meeting concluded at 6.07 pm.

CONFIRMED this day of

.....
Chairperson

Item-467 Foxton Code of Conduct Subcommittee Receipt of Report from the reconvened meeting of 3 May 2010

File No 4195

To: The Chairperson and Members
Foxton Community Board

From: Chief Executive Officer

Date: 3 May 2010

1. Purpose

- a. To receive the recommendations of the Foxton Code of Conduct Subcommittee following their recent meetings to consider an alleged breach of the Foxton Community Board Code of Conduct by Board Chairperson, Basil Vertongen.

2. Recommendation

- a. That Report 4195 on the Foxton Code of Conduct Subcommittee be received.
- b. That this matter or decision be recognised as not significant in terms of s76 of the Local Government Act 2002.
- c. That the Foxton Community Board accept the recommendation from the Foxton Code of Conduct Subcommittee with regard to the alleged breach of the Foxton Code of Conduct by Board Chairperson, Basil Vertongen.

3. Background

- a. At its meeting of 8 February 2010 the Foxton Community Board resolved:

“THAT the Deputy Chair and two (2) members of the public who have the requisite skills/expertise be appointed to investigate whether the Foxton Community Board’s Code of Conduct has been breached.”

Following the passing of this resolution the Chief Executive formed a subcommittee of appropriately qualified persons – Stan Cull (Chair), Shelia Paddison and Neville Gimblett.

The Subcommittee has held four meetings (at the date of writing this report) - 3 March, 25 March, 19 April and 26 April 2010. At these meetings the Subcommittee acknowledged the process that they were required to follow on this matter, and they requested, received and considered evidence from a number of identified persons.

The subcommittee adjourned its meeting of 26 April 2010 and are scheduled to reconvene on Monday, 3 May 2010.

A report from the reconvened meeting of 3 May 2010 will be presented to this evening’s meeting of the Foxton Community Board.

4. Discussion

- a. At this evening's meeting members of the Foxton Community Board will receive and consider the report from the Foxton Code of Conduct Subcommittee and determine the course of action that it wishes to pursue.
- b. The Board's attention is drawn to Section Part 4 (Compliance and Review) of the Foxton Community Board Code of Conduct. Those relevant extracts of this Part are reproduced as follows:

"That a Subcommittee to investigate any alleged breaches of the Code of Conduct be put in place comprising the Board Chair, Deputy Chair and a member of the public with appropriate expertise.

The Board will consider the report (of the Subcommittee) in open meeting, except where the alleged breach relates to the misuse of confidential information or could impinge on the privacy of a member of staff or of the general public.

The exact nature of the action the Board may take depends on the nature and extent of the breach and whether there are statutory provisions dealing with the breach.

Where there are no statutory provisions, the Board may take the following action should the occasion arise:

- *censure in public*
- *removal of the member from subcommittees of the Board and/or other representative type bodies*
- *dismissal of the member from a position as Chairperson or Deputy Chairperson of the Board.*

A decision to apply one or more of these actions requires a Board resolution to that effect."

	Name and title of signatories	Signature
Prepared by	D G Ward Chief Executive Officer	
<u>Confirmation of statutory compliance</u>		
<p>In accordance with section 76 of the Local Government Act 2002, this report is approved as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. containing sufficient information about the options and their benefits and costs, bearing in mind the significance of the decisions; and, b. is based on adequate knowledge about, and adequate consideration of, the views and preferences of affected and interested parties bearing in mind the significance of the decision. 		

Item-468 Council resolutions relating to Foxton Community Board

File No 4179

**To: The Chairperson and Members
Foxton Community Board**

From: Chief Executive Officer

Date: 3 May 2010

1. Purpose

- a. To inform the Board of resolutions that the Horowhenua District Council passed at its meetings on 30 March 2010 and 14 April 2010.

2. Recommendation

- a. That Report 4179 be received.
b. That this matter or decision be recognised as not significant in terms of s76 of the Local Government Act 2002.

3. Issues for Consideration

a. Extraordinary Meeting 30 March 2010

Item-1867 - To consider a proposal to Introduce Foxton Community Board Targeted Rate

"THAT Horowhenua District Council include proposals to levy a targeted rate to recover salary, agenda copying and distribution costs of the Foxton Community Board exclusively from the land area over which it statutorily governs."

"THAT variation be made to Council's Revenue and Financing Policy to reflect the proposed recovery of Foxton Community Board salary, agenda copying and distribution costs."

b. Ordinary Meeting 14 April 2010

Item 1882 - Adoption of Draft Annual Plan 2010/2011

"THAT the proposal to introduce a revised Development Contributions Policy from 1 July 2010 be adopted by way of special consultative procedure in conjunction with the Draft Annual Plan."

"THAT a proposal to amend the Revenue and Financing Policy by introducing a targeted rates for the Foxton Community Board from 1 July 2010, be adopted by way of special consultative procedure in conjunction with the Draft Annual Plan."

"THAT a proposal to amend the Liability Management Policy from 1 July 2010 be adopted by way of special consultative procedure in conjunction with the Draft Annual plan."

“THAT a proposal to change various User Fees and Charges from 1 July 2010 be adopted by way of special; consultative procedure in conjunction with the Draft Annual Plan.”
“THAT the audited Draft Annual Plan for 2010/2011 be adopted by way of the special consultative procedure.”

	Name and title of signatories	Signature
Prepared by	D G Ward Chief Executive Officer	
<u>Confirmation of statutory compliance</u>		
<p>In accordance with section 76 of the Local Government Act 2002, this report is approved as:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. containing sufficient information about the options and their benefits and costs, bearing in mind the significance of the decisions; and, b. is based on adequate knowledge about, and adequate consideration of, the views and preferences of affected and interested parties bearing in mind the significance of the decision. 		

Item-469 Chief Executive Officer's Report to 3 May 2010

File No 4180

**To: The Chairperson and Members
Foxton Community Board**

From: Chief Executive Officer

Date: 3 May 2010

1. Purpose

- a. To present to the Foxton Community Board, for information, issues relating to the Foxton Community Board area.

2. Recommendation

- a. That Report 4180 be received.
- b. That this matter or decision be recognised as not significant in terms of s76 of the Local Government Act 2002.

3. Issues for Consideration

- a. Land Transport Rule: Vehicle Dimensions and Mass Amendment 2010 by Minister Steven Joyce

Attached is a press release from Local Government New Zealand dated 1 April 2010 entitled "\$80 million worth of damage to NZ roads saved" following the Government's decision to defer the allowance for vehicles under 44 tonnes to carry extra weight without a permit"

- b. Members' Interest Update

At the time of writing I have not received all responses to my request for information to update the Members Interest Register.

- c. Foxton Beach Freeholding Fund

When the Board adopted conditions of operation for the Foxton Beach Freeholding Fund during the latter part of 2009, it was agreed that it would be good practice to prepare regular financial updates on the status of this account.

At this evening's meeting I will be tabling a report identifying movements in the fund from 1 July 2009 through to 31 March 2010. Thereafter financial reports will be provided on a quarterly basis.

d. Wastewater Readings - Foxton Wastewater Discharge Consent

At the Horowhenua District Council meeting of 14 April 2010 CHECK MEETING staff were requested to provide information with regard to consent conditions and readings from the Foxton River Loop.

Set out below is a summary of Council's required actions with regards to monitoring under the consent:

Effluent Monitoring - Conditions that cover this are (C) 10, 12, 13 &14

- Measure and record daily wastewater volume discharged (C10)
- Monthly grab samples from plant outlet (C12) for parameters all year round.
- E.coli tests in Loop from 200m up and downstream between 1 November and 31 March.(C13)
- E.coli results in Loop exceed 260 per 100ml notify 'amber' (C14), 1 November to 31 March.

Reporting - conditions that cover this is Condition 15 of the consent

- Information collected under Conditions 10, 12 and 13 and / or notified under 14 forwarded to Horizons and parties to the consent.
- The laboratory generates emails to automatically report results to Horizons Regional Council and the parties to the consent.

In terms of the Consent Conditions a Red Health Risk Warning is issued when readings are higher than 550 e-coli per 100mm of water.

Following is a table of e-coli readings from taken from 2 November 2009 through to 29 March 2010:

	Foxton Loop - 200m upstream	Foxton Loop - 200m downstream
02/11/09	160	700
10/11/09	36	89
25/11/09	240	140
03/12/09	360	350
07/12/09	340	480
14/12/09	365	2300
23/12/09	80	48
06/01/10	690	2400
13/01/10	42	33
19/01/10	48	27
27/01/10	<1	<1
02/02/10	1320	1180
08/02/10	71	75
18/02/10	237	580
22/02/10	76	79
01/03/10	48	8
08/03/10	10	108
15/03/10	37	43
22/03/10	53	58
29/03/10	47	36

e. Pinewood Motor Camp

Attached are the minutes of a meeting held on 22 April 2010 to further discuss this matter.

The Chairperson and Board Members Roache and Murdoch will provide further information to this evening's meeting.

f. Te Awahou Electoral College

The Te Awahou Electoral College, made up of representatives of the proposed settlers to the Te Awahou Trust Deed, has met to consider Trustee nominations received.

The Electoral College, chaired by Robin Hapi, is yet to formally announce who will be initial Trustees of the Trust. Once this has been completed, the Trust will be called to its inaugural meeting, which will include the selection of a Chairman, confirmation of the preferred legal status and the discussion of the Trust Deed.

Council is working with the Horowhenua Library Trust and a library design specialist, Nicki Moen on the internal design features for both Levin and Foxton.

g. Foxton Bore Update 26 April 2010 - Progress

The new Clyde Street bore at Foxton has been sunk to a depth of around 230m and the well screens are in place. The pump is in Levin and all of the electrical installation has been completed. The pipework connecting the wellhead to the delivery line has only a small amount of work required to complete the link. The new bore is undergoing a "5 day pump test" starting this Wednesday (28/4). This involves monitoring of the existing bores to see what interference/interaction the use of our bore will have on the other nearby bores.

Until recently Council had been drawing most of the water from the Ladys Mile bore, with the "main" bore beside the Water Treatment Plant providing only a small quantity (approx. 20%) given the deterioration in capacity of that bore. While the pump test is underway the Lady Mile bore will be required to meet 100% of the water demand at Foxton. Trials indicate that this should not put any undue stress on this bore.

The results of the pump test will require analysis, with this information to be included in our Consent application for the operation of the bore. The Consent application will need to be quite a detailed submission and is expected to be compiled before the end of May. Horizons Regional Council advise that they could take up to 20 working days before the Consent would be granted, provided a hearing was not required. The indication is that the new bore will be able to be commissioned towards the end of July 2010.

h. Maintenance Works

Attached to this report is a schedule prepared by staff detailing those maintenance works proposed to be undertaken (or current works in progress) in the Foxton and Foxton Beach areas.

	Name and title of signatories	Signature
Prepared by	D G Ward Chief Executive Officer	
<u>Confirmation of statutory compliance</u>		
In accordance with section 76 of the Local Government Act 2002, this report is approved as: a. containing sufficient information about the options and their benefits and costs, bearing in mind the significance of the decisions; and, b. is based on adequate knowledge about, and adequate consideration of, the views and preferences of affected and interested parties bearing in mind the significance of the decision.		

4. Attachments

- a. Press Release from Local Government New Zealand dated 1 April 2010
- b. Notes from Meeting held 22 April 2010 on Pinewood Motor Camp
- c. Foxton Maintenance Works Schedule

MEDIA RELEASE LOCAL GOVERNMENT NEW ZEALAND

\$80 million worth of damage to NZ roads saved

For immediate release on 1 April 2010

Research commissioned by *Local Government New Zealand* (LGNZ) has helped prevent local authorities from facing \$80 million of potential damage to New Zealand's roading infrastructure.

LGNZ's Transport spokesperson Peter Tennent's comments follow the signing of the Land Transport Rule: Vehicle Dimensions and Mass Amendment 2010 by Minister Steven Joyce.

"The Government's decision to defer the allowance for vehicles under 44 tonnes to carry extra weight without a permit, based on changes to a heavy vehicle's first to last axle wheel distance, is a smart one.

"Vehicles under 44 tonnes have the right to travel on any highway or local road throughout any city or town in New Zealand. The advice LGNZ received from an independent economist verified that New Zealand's roading infrastructure just wouldn't sustain the extra weight. Simply put, the wear and tear to roads would happen much faster, and would come with a huge price tag.

"We congratulate the Government on their decision to undertake a thorough assessment to ensure roading infrastructure can accommodate any weight changes in this category.

"We endorse the Government's decision to allow road controlling authorities to issue permits for high productivity vehicles to increase their weight from 44 to 53 tonnes and length from 20 to 22 metres on specified routes," said Mr Tennent.

ENDS

For more information contact: Charlotte Brown on 04 924 1217 or 029 924 1205.

FOXTON BEACH MOTOR CAMP MEETING – 22 APRIL 2010

Present Foxton, David Roache, Basil Vertongen and Tony Murdoch

Present HDC: Max Tregonning, Raj Mander

Apologies: Barry Kinchin and Peter Shore

ITEMS

1. **Feasibility Study** – It was agreed that work on the existing camp will be carried out in stages over the next three years. The feasibility needs to investigate if the proposed extension will bring any future benefits. Any changes to the existing camp will be looked at as a long term development plan.
2. **Fence/Retaining Wall and Board Walk** – The fence similar to the existing will be constructed this year (Tony Murdoch to confirm price and liaise with Peter Shore) and the board walk and retaining wall will be included in the future years.
3. **Sealing of the Drive/Road** – The full width of the drive to be sealed to the end of the camp sites, passed the sites the width to be reduced.
4. **Sailors Building** – Require a price for the renovation of the existing building compared replacing with a new one. Resource consent requirements also need to be identified. The building report will be presented to the Foxton community Board.
5. **Internet Facility** – Remove the small building opposite the Camp Managers house and replace with a portable cabin until a permanent arrangement has been made.
6. **Staging of work over three years** (attached schedule of Prices) – It was decided that the work will be staged over the next three years and the required budgets were identified.
- 7.

Year 1 (Present – June 2011) – Estimated Cost \$270,215.00

- Feasibility Study/Business Case
- Fence for New Section of Camp
- Seal Existing Roads
- Earthworks at back of Camp
- Repair/Replace Toilet Blocks
- Re-line existing showers
- Upgrade Power Boxes
- Internet Facility
- Work on Camp Managers House and Replace Ride On Lawn Mower
-

Year 2 (July 2011- June 2012) – Estimated Cost \$110,000.00

- Demolition/Renovation of Sailors Building
- Power for New Section
- Retaining Wall and Board Walk

Year 3 (July 2012 –June 2013) – Estimated Cost \$154,000.00

- Unisex Toilets for New Section of Camp
- Remainder of Retaining Wall and Board Walk

Description	Unit	Quantity	Rate	Amount		
				Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
Existing Camp Area						
Cost if Feasibility Study/Business Case	LS	1	\$ 10,000.00	\$ 8,000.00		
Construction of Fence along new area	LS	1	\$ 10,000.00	\$ 10,000.00		
Prepare Base and Provide New Seal for Existing Road	m ²	1000	\$ 30.00	\$ 30,000.00		
Earthworks	m ²	390	\$ 35.00	\$ 13,650.00		
Demolition/Renovation of Toilet Blocks	ea	1	\$100,000.00	\$ 100,000.00		
Re-lining Shower Blocks	ea	1	\$ 25,000.00	\$ 25,000.00		
Replacing/Upgrading Power Boxes	LS	1	\$ 15,000.00	\$ 15,000.00		
Internet Facility	LS	1	\$ 8,000.00	\$ 8,000.00		
		Sub Total		\$ 209,650.00	\$.	\$.

New Camp Area								
Demolition/Repair of Sailing Club Building	ea	1	\$ 20,000.00				\$ 20,000.00	
Providing Power for new section	LS	1	\$ 40,000.00				\$ 40,000.00	
Uni-sex Building including Kitchen in New Section	ea	1	\$100,000.00					\$ 100,000.00
Retaining Wall and Board Walk	LS	1	\$ 80,000.00				\$ 40,000.00	\$ 40,000.00
New Road	m ²	50	\$ 120.00			\$ 6,000.00		
			Sub Total			\$ 6,000.00	\$ 100,000.00	\$ 140,000.00
Miscellaneous								
Replacing Ride-on Lawn Mower	ea	1	\$ 15,000.00			\$ 15,000.00		
Work on Camp Managers House	LS	1	\$ 15,000.00			\$ 15,000.00		
			Sub Total			\$ 30,000.00	\$ -	\$ -
Total						\$ 245,650.00	\$ 100,000.00	\$ 140,000.00
Contingency (10%)						\$ 24,565.00	\$ 10,000.00	\$ 14,000.00
TOTAL						\$ 270,215.00	\$ 110,000.00	\$ 154,000.00

Foxton Board Proposed Maintenance and Current Works in Progress

April 2010

Roading Reseals

All of the reseals have now been completed.

Footpaths

There are no footpath renewals planned for the Foxton area.

Parks and Property

Foxton Hall Entrance Ways - Work is planned to upgrade the entrance of Foxton Hall from Main Street . This work involves creating a more direct and open access into the Hall, rather than the current split arrangement.

As part of this work, Officers will work with the Foxton RSA to determine a process for the uplifting and moving of the dedication plaque at the Halls entrance.

The Community Assets department is now awaiting Consent to undertake the work.

There are no other Parks or Property Projects planned for the remainder of the financial year.

Water Supply

Work is underway with the renewal of watermains in Hetta and Union Streets.

Wastewater

Sewer network stormwater infiltration survey work is being conducted for a number of district systems, including Foxton & Foxton Beach. The work commenced at the beginning of October and has now been completed. We will be following up the results over the next few months.

Investigation work continues on the long term options for disposal of Foxton Wastewater effluent.

Item-470 Resource Consents Issued

File No 4181

**To: The Chairperson and Members
Foxton Community Board**

From: Environmental Services Manager

Date: 3 May 2010

1. Purpose

- a. To receive the listing of land use and subdivision resource consent applications approved under delegated authority.

2. Recommendation

- a. That Report 4181 be received.
- b. That this matter or decision be recognised as not significant in terms of s76 of the Local Government Act 2002.
- c. That the list of land use and subdivision resource consents be received.

**Foxton Subdivision Resource Consents Granted Under Delegated Authority
12/03/10 to 22/04/10**

Date	File Ref	Subdivider	Address
8-Apr-10	SUB/2895	Jonathan Chapman	16 Brown Street, Foxton

**Foxton Land Use Resource Consents Granted Under Delegated Authority
12/03/10 to 22/04/10**

Date	File Ref	Applicant	Address
16-Mar-10	LUC/2798	James Burke & Christine Helm	23 Brown Terrace, Foxton Beach
17-Mar-10	LUC/2911	Leslie & Jan Thomas, & Karen McErlean	32 Palmer Road, Foxton Rural
18-Mar-10	LUC/2904	Harold Dykstra	126 State Highway 1, Foxton/Himatangi Rural
19-Mar-10	LUC/2919	Colin & Jennifer Loveridge	42 Edinburgh Terrace, Foxton Beach
1-Apr-10	LUC/2925	Te Koa Trust, David Russ & Bruce Stewart	63 Norbiton Road, Foxton
13-Apr-10	LUC/2922	Mark & Christine Treadwell & Ken MacKrell	36 Marine Parade South, Foxton Beach

3. Issues for Consideration

a. Below is a summary of all Resource Consents issued under delegated authority since January 2008 in the Foxton area:

	Jan/Feb 2008	March 2008	May 2008	June/July 2008	Aug/Sept 2008	Oct 2008	Nov/ Dec 2008	Total for 2008
Land Use	3	1	3	8	1	2	0	18
Sub-division	7	4	2	8	3	1	1	26

	Jan/Feb 2009	March/ April 2009	May/ June 2009	July/ August 2009	Sept 2009	Oct 2009	Total for 2009
Land Use	2	1	4	2	4	4	17
Subdivision	2	0	0	1	0	4	7

	Jan/Feb 2010	March 2010	Total for 2010
Land Use	6	2	8
Subdivision	1	1	2

	Name and title of signatories	Signature
Prepared by	T Thomas Environmental Services Manager	
<u>Confirmation of statutory compliance</u>		
<p>In accordance with section 76 of the Local Government Act 2002, this report is approved as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. containing sufficient information about the options and their benefits and costs, bearing in mind the significance of the decisions; and, b. is based on adequate knowledge about, and adequate consideration of, the views and preferences of affected and interested parties bearing in mind the significance of the decision. 		

MOTION TO EXCLUDE THE PUBLIC

“THAT the Foxton Community Board pursuant to Section 48, Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987, resolves that the public be excluded from the following parts of the proceedings of this meeting.

This resolution is made in reliance on Section 48(1)(a) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 and the particular interest or interests protected by Section 6 or Section 7 of that Act or Section 6 or Section 7 or Section 9 of the Official Information Act 1982, as the case may require, which would be prejudiced by the holding of the whole or the relevant part of the proceedings of the meeting in public.”

Confirmation of Minutes Ordinary Meeting 22 March 2010 (Minute Item 464))
Item 471 - In Committee Foxton Community Board Monitoring Report 3 May 2010
Item 472 - Proceedings Foxton Code of Conduct Subcommittee 26 April 2010

Reason for Confidentiality

These reports are **CONFIDENTIAL** in accordance with Section 48(1) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987, which permits the meeting to be closed to the public for business relating to the following grounds: -

48(1a) That the public conduct of the whole or the relevant part of the proceedings of the meeting would be likely to result in the disclosure of information for which good reason for withholding would exist.

Subject to sections 6, 7 and 17 of the Local Government Official Information Act 1987, the withholding of the information is necessary to:

7(2a) Protect the privacy of natural persons, including that of deceased natural persons.

7(2f) Maintain the effective conduct of public affairs through-
(ii) The protection of such members, officers, employees, and persons from improper pressure or harassment.